



Summary

2nd Clean Energy Finance and Investment Consultation Workshop “Unlocking finance and investment for clean energy in the Philippines” 24-25 November 2022 Bluewater Hotel, Panglao, Bohol

Day 1: Offshore wind finance and investment

The collaboration between the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Clean Energy Finance and Investment Mobilisation Programme (CEFIM) and the Philippines Department of Energy (DOE) was launched on 13 December 2021. One of the flagship outputs of the collaboration is a Clean Energy Finance and Investment Roadmap for the Philippines (to be released in mid-2023), which will provide an action plan to accelerate clean energy finance and investment in the country, focusing on offshore wind and energy efficiency in public buildings as priority sectors. Following a successful first workshop on 31 May-01 June 2022, OECD CEFIM and DOE jointly organised a second workshop which brought together key public and private sector stakeholders to identify challenges and opportunities to scale up finance and investment for offshore wind and energy efficiency in public buildings in the Philippines.

Offshore wind discussions took place under Chatham House rules on 24 November 2022, with participants joining both in-person and virtually. 42% of the participants were government representatives, 36% were from non-governmental organisations/international development organisations/donor groups, 12% were renewable energy project developers, 3% were energy efficiency project developers, and 6% were private financial institutions. Four roundtables were held, covering the topics of policy actions to accelerate renewable energy investments, creating the enabling environment for offshore wind development, and attracting capital by addressing project developer risks, bankability, and financing models for offshore wind.

This document provides a summary of the offshore wind discussions along with key takeaways and next steps.

Measures are being taken to improve the investment climate for renewables

- The Philippines government has set renewable energy generation targets of 35% by 2030 and at least 50% by 2040. A total of 52,826 megawatts (MW) of additional renewable energy capacity (7 times the current level) is required by 2040 to achieve this target. Wind energy, including offshore wind, is expected to supply 13% of the resulting power mix in 2040, the largest share among renewables after hydropower.
- The DOE's latest National Renewable Energy Program (NREP) 2020-2040 provides a policy framework to support the transition to renewable energy. The NREP has four components: mandatory and voluntary transition pathways, measures to strengthen the enabling environment, strategies to promote off-grid renewables, and resource-specific programs to support the development of geothermal, waste-to-energy, rooftop solar, ocean, and offshore wind energy.

- Notable renewable energy policy updates include:
 - **Investment policy and promotion**
 - The 40% foreign ownership limitation on the exploration, development, and utilisation of renewable energy resources have been relaxed starting 8 December 2022.
 - The minimum Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS) mandates for load-serving entities will be increased from 1% to annual increments of 2.52% starting in 2023.
 - The first round of the Green Energy Auction Programme (GEAP) was held in June 2022 and almost 1,866 MW was procured. The second round of auctions will be held in 2023.
 - All renewable energy sources may now avail preferential dispatch in the wholesale electricity spot market (WESM).
 - **Regulatory reforms**
 - The requirements for small-scale distributed renewable energy projects (<1 MW) to avail a Certificate of Registration have been simplified under the Omnibus guidelines. The Energy Regulatory Commission (ERC) has expanded the net metering program (NMP) and streamlined procedures to allow small-scale projects to participate and export to the grid.
 - An amendment to the Green Energy Option Program (GEOP) is currently being drafted to streamline the supplier switching process for corporate consumers and harmonise it with the Retail Competition and Open Access (RCOA) mechanism. ERC's retail aggregation rules now allow smaller consumers to aggregate demand and meet the 500kW consumption threshold to participate in the RCOA. This threshold may be reduced to harmonise with the GEOP (100kW) in future.
 - **Recent and upcoming issuances**
 - The DOE has issued an advisory on the enhancement of policies and guidelines for the development of offshore wind projects and will be holding a series of public consultations to review the administration of wind energy service contracts.
 - An operations manual providing guidelines on the utilisation of the Renewable Energy Trust Fund will be released in Q1 2023.
 - The upcoming revision to the Philippine Energy Plan in 2023 is expected to include long term resource-specific capacity or generation targets, notably for offshore wind.

Offshore wind investments are attracted by robust enabling environments

- As of October 2022, the DOE has awarded 42 wind energy service contracts (WESCs) to offshore wind developers, representing a cumulative potential capacity of 31.5 gigawatts (GW). Further, Letters of Intent (LOI) have been received since the intention to relax foreign ownership limits was announced, indicating a positive outlook for offshore wind deployment in the Philippines.
- However, the actual capacity deployed will depend on insights from site-specific data collections. WESCs allocate 5 years for pre-development stage activities (e.g., conducting studies, securing permits, etc.), during which developers are required to collect data (e.g., wind speed measurements) at their proposed plant locations and revise their capacity proposals as needed.
- DOE is also coordinating a Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) project (including transmission and port infrastructure needs assessment) at the awarded WESC locations, through the Carbon Trust's Philippine Offshore Wind Joint Industry Programme (POWJIP) with funding from the Energy Transition Partnership. Stakeholders highlighted the need to collect and publish environmental and social data under this activity to guide the location of early projects and of future WESC applications.
- Developers noted that any new and existing data (e.g., from oil and gas fields) should be made publicly available and accessible through a digital database to improve transparency and avoid duplication of efforts. Data collection and consolidation can likewise help designate offshore wind development zones in the country, which 10% of the workshop participants named as an immediate priority to create the enabling environment for offshore wind during a poll.

- A majority (71%) of the participants responding to the same poll found that simplifying the regulatory framework should be the immediate priority. It was noted that streamlining permitting and approval procedures, defining clear decision timelines on permit applications, maintaining the consistency of permitting requirements, ensuring the durability and exclusivity of permits once awarded, and facilitating coordination among public agencies and private stakeholders are key enabling factors.
 - The DOE is currently drafting an Executive Order (expected to be signed and issued by end 2022) to set up an Offshore Wind Development and Investment Council comprising 10 government agencies involved in permitting and approvals for offshore wind projects. This will serve as a one-stop shop for offshore wind development to improve interagency coordination and streamline procedures, with the eventual goal of being integrated into the Energy Virtual One Stop Shop (EVOSS) platform.
 - In addition, the DOE is being supported by international development partners to further strengthen the regulatory environment for offshore wind. The Asian Development Bank is providing technical assistance to the Energy Regulatory Commission to develop an offshore wind regulatory framework and the Carbon Trust is coordinating a study on permitting and consenting for offshore wind through POWJIP.
- Stakeholders likewise noted the importance of aligning local environmental regulations guiding the permitting of projects (both offshore wind installations and transmission upgrades) with international industry best practices. The World Bank is providing technical assistance to the DOE and the Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) to review and update existing environmental regulations according to international standards. This will account for the fact that the extreme wind speeds unique to the region may necessitate environmental and social considerations without any international precedent or best practices.
- The remaining 19% of the poll respondents highlighted transmission infrastructure as the key immediate priority for enabling offshore wind investments. The National Grid Corporation of the Philippines (NGCP) has proposed plans to upgrade and expand the transmission network to accommodate offshore wind power plants proposed under awarded WESCs. However, several political and administrative factors often delay the execution of such plans (up to 10 years) thereby potentially delaying early offshore wind projects. For instance, the processing of system impact studies alone can take up to 18 months due to lack of personnel.
 - Stakeholders highlighted the importance of incorporating offshore wind into the Competitive Renewable Energy Zones (CREZ) process to ensure grid planning is done in tandem with offshore wind project development. They likewise noted the need for an interagency committee to facilitate regulatory coordination in grid planning.
 - The DOE is currently being supported by the World Bank in developing standards for transmission infrastructure and integrating them into the Philippines Grid Code.
- Developers highlighted the availability of routes to market as a key determining factor in their willingness to invest in offshore wind projects in the Philippines.
 - Kickstarting the offshore wind industry will require auctions to be frequent (e.g., yearly), technology-specific, and of sufficient volume to build investor confidence, avoid competition with other renewables, and allow scope for economies of scale. Long-term offshore wind targets too would serve to set procurement expectations and boost investor confidence.
 - They noted that ceiling prices should be based on a transparent costing methodology which appropriately balances the value of offshore wind (e.g., power system services, alternative uses like in hydrogen production, etc.) against the levelised cost of electricity (LCOE).
 - In addition, ensuring that grid access process is fair and discourages site-banking, and based on long-term horizons will be crucial for international developers to enter the market.
- Several participants underscored the importance of building social acceptance for offshore wind to avoid conflict and project delays. As offshore wind may seem to be the more expensive option in absolute upfront cost terms, strong grassroots communications efforts are needed to disseminate information about its relative benefits (e.g., supply chain development potential especially for

floating offshore wind components, local job creation, skill development, climate action, etc.). It was likewise noted that removing the fuel pass through mechanism for fossil fuels will allow consumers to compare costs fairly.

- In a live poll during the workshop asking stakeholders what they see as the best fiscal support mechanism for initial offshore wind projects in the Philippines, 41% of the stakeholders chose feed-in tariff, 30% chose tax incentives, 22% chose contracts for difference, and 4% chose feed-in premium and tradeable certificates each.

Financial institutions seek international expertise and de-risking support

- Participants reminded that (i) the Philippines has a very liquid financial market with a strong appetite for renewable energy investments, owing to Central Bank mandates requiring commercial banks to integrate sustainable finance into their lending programs. (ii) Although availability of finance is not a constraint, the willingness and ability of domestic financial institutions to lend to offshore wind projects at attractive terms is determined by their risk perception, which remains relatively high due to the novelty of offshore wind in the country. (iii) Given the highly capital-intensive nature of offshore wind projects, developers experience high exposure to cost of finance – for illustration, a 1% shift in cost of finance can impact the levelised cost of an offshore wind project by 8%.
- Developers and financial institutions noted that measures to improve the confidence of financial institutions and facilitate risk sharing (e.g., technical assistance, capacity building, de-risking arrangements) can allow financial institutions to price risks appropriately and offer lower rates and longer-term financing for initial projects.
- Stakeholders highlighted that the transfer of technical knowledge and international expertise on offshore wind project evaluation would be crucial to get domestic financial institutions to participate in early project finance. Loan syndication, consortium finance, and export credit finance were noted as possible avenues to facilitate such knowledge sharing. Likewise, international financial institutions with offshore wind experience too would appreciate the value of partnering with domestic banks, given the latter's local knowledge and expertise in evaluating the unique social, geological, and topographical risks associated with infrastructure projects.
- Export credit agencies (ECA) expressed interest in working with the Philippine banking sector to structure financing agreements for offshore wind projects with demonstrable export value.
 - ECAs provide highly rated credit guarantees covering up to 100% of the financing risks and with long risk coverage periods (up to 18 years). ECA guarantees have a positive impact on the bankability of projects by transforming project risks into ECA sovereign risks, thus broadening the availability of lenders. In fact, credit guarantees were chosen by a vast majority (81%) of the participants as the most effective de-risking instrument for initial offshore wind projects.
 - ECAs can also provide long term finance (up to 18 years) matching the lowest commercial interest rates available in the market. However, it was acknowledged that in a liquid market like the Philippines, ECAs may be best placed to provide upfront de-risking support (e.g., construction risks) rather than long term financing.
 - It was noted that ECA involvement is governed by OECD Common Approaches and the IFC Performance Standards, which require strong environmental and social considerations to be incorporated into project finance.
 - ECAs remain flexible in assessing export value. Given that offshore wind manufacturing companies are already concentrated in Northern Europe, there is great opportunity to work with ECAs from that region, even though much of the manufacturing or assembly may be done in Asia.
 - ECAs maintain flexibility and openness to financing arrangements that work best for the local context (e.g., partial deals covering only the upfront construction risks or force majeure risks due to climate risk exposure).

- In addition, there is scope for multilateral development banks (MDBs) to support early offshore wind projects in the Philippines. Although MDBs active in the region may have limited experience with offshore wind given that most of these projects have been located in Northern Europe so far, stakeholders noted the potential for additional blended finance from MDBs to help de-risk specific local risks (e.g., typhoon risks).
- The Energy Transition Mechanism (ETM) was highlighted as a potential financing avenue for offshore wind in the future. The ETM concept was originally developed by ADB as a mechanism providing multilateral concessional finance for the early retirement of coal-fired power plants and crowding-in investments for renewable energy projects by re-financing and re-leveraging assets. The world's first ETM transaction was successfully implemented in the Philippines by AC Energy without any multilateral funding, wherein they divested the shares of a 246 MW coal-fired power plant with the intention to retire it early, thereby halving its technical life and recouping funds to reinvest in renewable energy projects.
- Stakeholders highlighted that rising offshore wind ambitions in the region meant that the Philippines would likely have to compete with other markets like Vietnam, Taiwan, Japan, and Korea for capital. Given the global context of restricted capital availability, there is scope for multiple financial institutions (ECAs, MDBs, local and international commercial banks, etc.) to work together and maximise the availability of finance for offshore wind projects.

Key takeaways

- Overall, the discussions of the day highlighted the significance of site-specific data availability across indicators (e.g., resource quality, geological survey, bathymetry, infrastructure needs, environmental and social parameters, etc.) in guiding the location of early offshore wind projects. While some of this data is being collected by private investors and may be proprietary, government-driven data collection efforts (e.g., under the MSP project) as well as existing data across government departments (e.g., related to past oil and gas projects) should be consolidated and made publicly available and digitally accessible (potentially through POWJIP) to improve transparency.
- Another key message was that a simplified regulatory framework will be a key enabler of offshore wind investments in the Philippines, and the DOE's new Executive Order (expected to be signed by end 2022) setting up a one-stop shop for permitting and approvals procedures is seen as a step in the right direction. Stakeholders highlighted clear decision timelines on permit applications, maintaining the consistency of permitting requirements, ensuring the durability and exclusivity of permits once awarded, and facilitating coordination among public agencies and private stakeholders as additional considerations that should feed into the regulatory improvements currently underway.
- The timely availability of adequate transmission infrastructure is expected to be a limiting factor for offshore wind development in the country. Although expansion plans are in place, it can take up to 10 years for them to materialise given the usual political, regulatory, or capacity related delays. Further investigation is needed to determine the exact barriers and identify appropriate solutions.
- There was consensus among developers that the guaranteed availability of routes of market would also determine their willingness to invest in offshore wind projects. Transitioning to competitive auctions based on international best practices (i.e., frequent, technology-specific auctions of sufficient volume with appropriate ceiling prices and smooth grid access) as well as setting the right policy signals with long-term offshore wind capacity targets would boost investor confidence. Further investigation is needed to determine whether the provision of a fiscal support mechanism should be expected for the first few projects.
- International support is expected to play a significant role in de-risking early projects through several avenues. Export credit agencies can provide significant credit risk coverage (notably on risks related to construction, project delays, political uncertainties, etc.) but additional de-risking support

may be needed from multilateral development banks to cover geographical or climate-related risks unique to the Philippine context (such as the impact of extreme wind speeds or more frequent typhoons on offshore wind installations).

- Local financial institutions in the Philippines are well-capitalised and possess strong appetite for renewable energy projects, although they may need international support to build expertise on offshore wind project evaluation and risk assessment. Support may be in the form of knowledge transfers (e.g., through partnership arrangements) or as targeted training programmes or capacity building efforts.

Next steps

Based on the key outcomes from this workshop as summarised in this document, the OECD CEFIM team will begin drafting the Clean Energy Finance and Investment Roadmap for the Philippines. Further research and analysis may be required to gain a deeper understanding of some of the key priority areas identified during the workshop and develop recommendations accordingly, and the CEFIM team will be organising additional bilateral stakeholder consultations as needed. Finally, a third joint workshop will be organised virtually with DOE and other stakeholders in early 2023 to agree on the recommendations emerging from this process. The Roadmap is then expected to be published by mid-2023.



Summary

2nd Clean Energy Finance and Investment Consultation Workshop ***“Unlocking finance and investment for clean energy in the Philippines”*** **24-25 November 2022** **Bluewater Hotel, Panglao, Bohol**

Day 2: Energy efficiency in public buildings

The collaboration between the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Clean Energy Finance and Investment Mobilisation Programme (CEFIM) and the Philippine Department of Energy (DOE) was launched on 13 December 2021. One of the flagship outputs of the collaboration is a Clean Energy Finance and Investment Roadmap of the Philippines (to be released in mid-2023), which will provide an action plan to accelerate clean energy finance and investment in the country, focusing on offshore wind and energy efficiency in public buildings as priority sectors. Following a successful first workshop on 31 May- 01 June 2022, OECD CEFIM and DOE jointly organised a second workshop which brought together key public and private sector stakeholders to identify challenges and opportunities to scale up finance and investment for offshore wind and energy efficiency in public buildings in the Philippines.

Energy efficiency discussions took place under Chatham House rules on 25 November 2022, with participants joining both in-person and virtually. 57% of the participants were government representatives, 24% were from non-governmental organisations/international development organisations/donor groups, 14% were energy efficiency businesses/practitioners. Three roundtables were held, covering the topics of business models, policies, financing options, de-risking and international support for energy efficiency in public buildings.

This document provides a summary of the discussions on energy efficiency in public buildings along with key takeaways and next steps.

Efforts are underway to improve energy efficiency in public buildings

- Under the 2019 Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act (EEC Act), which provides the blueprint for energy efficiency in the Philippines, the DOE so far has released 36 policy issuances setting objectives or providing guidelines for energy efficiency efforts.
- In particular, the public sector’s energy efficiency efforts are governed by the Government Energy Management Programme (GEMP), which mandates the reduction of government’s monthly electricity and fuel consumption by at least 10%. This can be achieved through both energy conservation measures and Government Energy Efficiency Projects (GEEPs).
- The Inter-Agency Energy Efficiency and Conservation Committee (IAEECC) was created under the EEC Act to provide strategic direction to the GEMP. It comprises 9 government agencies involved in the approval of all GEEPs and serves to improve interagency coordination.
- There have been notable recent updates to the GEMP to improve its scope and effectiveness. The programme has now been expanded to cover approximately 7 441 government entities (including

judicial bodies and local government units or LGUs), reflecting a whole-of-government approach. It has also incorporated compliance incentives, such that government entities that achieve the 10% energy consumption reduction target are now allowed to accumulate the full cost savings and reinvest in their own facilities.

- In addition, the DOE's Energy Utilisation and Management Bureau (EUMB) is also providing fiscal and non-fiscal incentives as required under the EEC Act. Fiscal incentives are being provided for simple and complex projects, both new and expansion-related, provided a minimum project boundary of 15% and minimum project investment cost of 10 million pesos. The classification of energy efficiency as both Tier-2 and Tier-3 under the Corporate Recovery and Tax Incentives for Enterprises (CREATE) Act also makes these projects eligible for income tax holidays (5-7 years) and duty-free equipment importation. Non-fiscal incentives like Excellence Awards are being given to several categories of establishments (including Government) to recognise outstanding performance on energy efficiency.
- The EUMB also conducts certification of energy efficiency practitioners, such as Energy Efficiency Managers, Energy Efficiency and Conservations Officers, and Energy Auditors. They also provide accreditations to energy service companies (ESCOs) and have registered a total of 42 ESCOs as of 2021.
- The EUMB is currently drafting updates to the Green Building Code, to be finalised in 2023, setting energy efficiency and conservation guidelines for buildings with areas more than 10 000 square feet and mandating them to have certified energy efficiency officers or managers. All new building permits will be bound by these guidelines.

Challenges remain for LGUs to implement energy efficiency measures

- A few LGUs have made progress towards the GEMP target, allocating resources to energy-efficiency-related research, planning, data collection, monitoring, and maintenance of equipment. These are already seeing results in the form of reduced energy bills.
- In some off-grid areas, with heavy reliance on expensive diesel, LGUs have made significant energy efficiency improvements to their equipment – not necessarily with the objective to comply with the GEMP but simply on grounds that these are good financial investments.
- Nevertheless, overall compliance with GEMP remains low (around 8.26%) as persistent hurdles continue slowing uptake.
- Most notably, stakeholders highlighted that LGUs continue to face significant knowledge and capacity constraints. For instance, LGUs have overall limited awareness of the GEMP mandates, and those who do, may lack the capacity to plan and undertake energy efficiency projects, conduct energy audits, and do the necessary procurement (e.g., preparation of Request for Proposal/ technical specifications, technical review, bid evaluation etc.).
- Complicated procurement rules further hamper LGUs' ability to undertake energy efficiency projects, including through ESCOs. In particular, under current regulations, energy performance contracts are not classified as 'pure services contract' (a pre-requisite to qualify for procurement), thus making it difficult for LGUs to contract private ESCOs, which typically provides bundled contracts (encompassing a mix of goods and services). Another problem with such contracts is that they are usually long term (10-15 years), and LGUs may be unwilling to enter into long term contracts that go beyond the political life of their administration. These constraints have severely limited private ESCOs participation in implementing energy efficiency projects in public buildings.
- Therefore, LGUs must go through the Philippines National Oil Company – Renewables Corporation (PNOC-RC) or another government agency (the Department of Science and Technology or DOST) to do their energy audits because procurement from another government entity is far less cumbersome than through private ones.
- Still, it came out clear that neither the PNOC-RC nor the Government has the capacity to audit the 7 441 government entities covered under the GEMP, let alone fill the energy efficiency investment gap in public buildings. As an example, PNOC-RC has only audited a cumulated 79 LGUs as of 2022 while DoE has set a target for the Government to audit 100 government buildings yearly --

which is laudable albeit small compared to actual number of facilities requiring energy auditing. Beyond auditing (which is key to identify opportunities and create a baseline for evaluating energy savings), the PNOC-RC and the Government also have little capacity/dry powder to invest, build and operate energy efficiency projects.

- In that context, it was noted that the public super ESCO model could help address some of these procurement issues with private entities as, in that case, a public entity would be transacting with another one. Most notably, a publicly owned super ESCO could act as a demand/project aggregator to realise economies of scale – through bulk procurement of energy-efficient appliances or bundling of projects/public buildings to reach critical mass for financing/investment –, provide financing as well as directly implement energy efficiency projects and capacity building activities to support an ESCO market development (e.g., sub-contracting private ESCOs -- ensuring additionality to avoid stunting the market).
- The Government reiterated its support of the concept of a public Super ESCO, although previous efforts to establish one have, thus far, been unsuccessful.
 - The Asian Development Bank provided a USD 31.5 million loan to the Philippine Government, one of the objectives of which was to create a super ESCO out of PNOC. Notwithstanding, this could not be realised due to internal management decisions. Instead, the PNOC-RC was created with the objective of investing in and supporting energy efficiency projects.
 - However, PNOC-RC no longer does energy efficiency project investment due to capital constraints. It now provides technical expertise to project developers to prepare investor-ready projects (e.g., preparing for bidding process, assisting in selection of bidders, etc.).
- There are examples of successful implementation of a public super ESCO model globally. One of them is India's Energy Efficiency Services Limited (EESL), which was established in 2009 as a joint venture of four public enterprises under the Ministry of Power, to finance and deliver energy efficiency solutions, especially in the residential and public sectors. EESL's business model is based on a "Pay as You Save" principle whereby no subsidy from the government nor upfront payment from users is required and all capital expenditures are repaid from realised energy savings. To do that, EESL has implemented a number of initiatives among which a national "bulk procurement" programme for LEDs, which helped achieve significant cost reduction.

Private sector participation and international support is required to scale up efforts

- While targets are ambitious, the Government has very limited budget to finance energy efficiency projects by itself, although it has set aside budget to finance a few clean energy initiatives. For instance, it has implemented solar rooftop projects in 3 government buildings and, as mentioned above, has allocated PHP 25 million in the 2023 budget to support energy audits for 100 LGUs (to be conducted by ESCOs).
- As a result, LGUs have mainly had to fund their energy efficiency projects by reallocating internal budget, with measures such as plan phasing (i.e., starting with small investments and staggering large investment to spread across fiscal years).
- However, this has considerable limits as budget often does not suffice and projects are implemented without cost recovery (i.e., through energy cost reduction) – as current budget rules do not allow capital expenditures (CAPEX) repayment from savings and over multiple years. This point was particularly emphasised in a poll asking participants about the greatest challenge to energy efficiency adoption by LGUs: 43% of respondents highlighted "budgetary constraint" as the single biggest challenge, even before procurement rules (24%), which ranked second.
- To circumvent this issue, the DoE promulgated Department Circular DC 2022-04-0006, which allows LGUs to identify their own budgets as part of the wider government budget, allowing these to accrue and reuse freed-up budget from lower energy consumption (resulting from the implementation of energy conservation measures) to repay or invest in new, energy efficiency-related CAPEX. This will be done by way of the issuance of Energy Cost Reduction certificates, allowing the use savings to fund improvements in energy efficiency and conservation in GEs

facilities, as well as providing other incentives, in compliance with existing regulations and guidelines.

- Still, participants highlighted the need to raise additional funds and diversify sources of funding going forward, such as with increased central budget allocations for seed capital and by looking into innovative financing arrangements – including through Public Private Partnership or PPPs.
- In this regard, there was a general consensus over the fact that using the PPP model for energy efficiency could potentially help address budget constraints and procurement issues. However, LGUs still have limited capacity to enter into PPPs for energy efficiency while the small size of energy efficiency projects makes it challenging to attract private actors. The PPP centre has played an important role in providing support to accelerate uptake of the energy saving PPP model through project preparation and transaction advisory to LGUs. Canada also provided support to PPP through the National Economic and Development Authority.
- In light of those issues, participants stressed the need for development partners to support the implementation of demonstration projects for PPP and Energy Performance Contracts, starting with a subset of LGUs as well as provide further capacity building support. In this regard, development partners have been spearheading initiatives to support the Philippines regarding have provided support e.g., ETP is implementing an energy efficiency financing roadmap notably building on OECD's and the Government's efforts, while GiZ introduced ISO standards for assessing and reporting financing projects for climate mitigations.
- Participants also highlighted demand side management or DSM (including through smart meters), as an important area for support as it has a great potential to support energy efficiency efforts. On-bill financing was mentioned as possible way to fund DSM projects.

Key takeaways

- It appeared clear from the discussion that LGUs still need technical assistance and capacity building support in order to avail of energy efficiency opportunities, and thereby meet the GEMP target. Key training/capacity building areas notably relate to public procurement (e.g., equipment, EPC, energy audit services), implementation of energy efficiency projects or the use of PPP for energy efficiency. Also, further awareness raising efforts are needed to help LGUs familiarise themselves with the changing legal and policy architecture for energy efficiency (including through the EEC Act and GEMP) and thereby start taking action.
- Existing rules continue to make the procurement of energy efficiency projects from private firms cumbersome. This points to a need to explore options to accommodate and expedite the procurement of energy efficiency projects – either through specific carve-outs or rule change. This will be paramount in order to allow greater level of private sector participation – especially given the limited capacity of the Government / PNOC-RC to support energy efficiency improvements in public buildings. As was suggested, starting with a subset of LGUs/Government institutions could be a way to trial and evaluate the impact of any possible rule change.
- There was overall an agreement from the Government and participants on the potential benefits of establishing a public Super ESCO in the Philippines, particularly as a way around public procurement issues. Such institution could support the development of a private ESCO market in the country, notably through projects aggregation, bulk procurement, or sub-contracting work to local private ESCOs, among others. However, further investigation is needed to better grasp the reasons behind the limited success of past attempts to make the PNOC-RC a public Super ESCO and lessons learned from this experience.
- While compliance with the GEMP remains low, there are positive signs of progress -- as showcased by some LGUs which have made significant efforts to improve their buildings' energy efficiency. Notwithstanding, these efforts have been largely funded on budget (without cost recovery) and hence, will be difficult to scale up/replicate. As stressed during the discussion, earmarking an increased portion of LGU's/central budget to energy efficiency (to the extent possible) will be necessary (especially in the early stages of deployment) while continuing to diversify sources of funding over time (whether this be from concessional lending or directly from financial markets).

- Equally, creating innovative financial vehicles and instruments (e.g., equity and guarantee funds, energy savings insurance, joint venture transactions, on-bill financing) that can enable public funding and private sector capital flows into ESCO and energy efficiency will be important. For example:
 - The creation of an Energy Efficiency Revolving fund (drawing from the Thai model) could help ESCOs and LGUs access long term concessional finance and/or equity and, and, in its early days, help support the deployment of demonstration projects. Such a fund, it was suggested, could for example be capitalised from a 'real property' tax (also known as Amilyar).
 - In the US, a syndicated ESCO model was used wherein a financial institution enters a partnership with an ESCO to develop projects with the ESCO being its technical arm.
- All in all, over the short/medium term, participants stressed the importance of setting up demonstration projects -- e.g., for energy performance contracts or other innovative structures -- to prove concept and set an example for replication. In this regard, one suggestion was, for instance, to create a fast-track programme with a few government entities (possibly the 9 IAEECC members) to provide a template or track record for implementing energy efficiency projects for other LGUs to follow.

Next steps

Based on the key outcomes from this workshop as summarised in this document, the OECD CEFIM team will begin drafting the Clean Energy Finance and Investment Roadmap for the Philippines. Further research and analysis may be required to gain a deeper understanding of some of the key priority areas identified during the workshop and develop recommendations accordingly, and the CEFIM team will be organising additional bilateral stakeholder consultations as needed. Finally, a third joint workshop will be organised virtually with DOE and other stakeholders in early 2023 to agree on the recommendations emerging from this process. The Roadmap is then expected to be published by mid-2023.