

Section 3 Environmental fate and behaviour

# **Test Guideline No. 321** Hyallela Azteca Bioconcentration Test (HYBIT)

25 June 2024

OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals



## OECD GUIDELINE FOR THE TESTING OF CHEMICALS

## Hyalella azteca Bioconcentration Test (HYBIT)

### INTRODUCTION

1. The *Hyalella azteca* Bioconcentration Test (HYBIT) provides a non-vertebrate test for bioconcentration in aquatic environments.

2. HYBIT has been developed in such a way that it is as close as possible to the concept described in the OECD TG 305 part I (1).

3. In addition to the established flow-through regime commonly applied in bioconcentration studies, semistatic regimes are permissible as options in studies carried out according to this Guideline. Both regimes have been validated as part of an international ring trial (2).

4. The aqueous exposure test is most applicable to organic chemicals with log  $K_{ow}$  values between 1.5 and 6.0, but may still be used with highly hydrophobic chemicals (having log  $K_{ow}$ >6.0), if a stable and fully dissolved concentration of the test chemical in water can be demonstrated (3, 4). The log  $K_{ow}$  measurement is based on the steady-state thermodynamics of solutes, and so the log  $K_{ow}$  test may not be suitable to characterise bioaccumulation of chemicals, such as PFAS and other chemicals with surfactant properties, for which log  $K_{ow}$  values may be difficult or even impossible to determine because other processes are rate-limiting instead of thermodynamic partitioning.

5. The bioconcentration test is generally based on measuring the bioaccumulation of parent test chemical. Radiolabelled test chemicals can enable a sensitive analysis of water and tissue samples. Separation procedures e.g. TLC or HPLC should be employed prior to radio-detection to enable quantitative analysis of the parent test chemical and transformation products. Peaks associated with the parent test chemical and transformation products. Peaks associated with the parent test chemical and transformation products should be verified using non-labelled certified reference standards. In addition, the bound residue (non-extractable fraction) of radiolabelled test chemical in the tissue homogenate should be quantified when a radiolabelled test chemical is used. When separation techniques are applied, a BCF determination for the parent test chemical should be based upon the concentration of the parent test chemical in *H. azteca* and not upon total radioactive residues. If total radioactive residues (TRR) are determined (e.g. by combustion or tissue solubilisation), the radioactivity and therefore the BCF is based on the total radioactivity associated with parent test chemical, retained metabolites and assimilated carbon. BCF values based on TRR may be overly conservative and not directly comparable to a BCF derived where an extraction method has been employed followed by specific chemical analysis of the parent test chemical only.

6. The decision on whether to conduct a flow-through or semi-static exposure experiment should be based on the feasibility to maintain stable exposure concentrations in the water phase during the uptake phase that are within  $\pm 20\%$  of the mean of the measured values [*cf.* paragraph 17(c)]. Important factors that may

#### © OECD, (2024)

You are free to use this material subject to the terms and conditions available at http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions/

influence application choice are, e.g. the test chemical's potential for adsorption to test vessels and apparatus, its stability in aqueous solution, etc. Information on such practical aspects may be available from other aquatic toxicity tests. If no information is available, a pre-test may be conducted to confirm the suitability of the selected exposure regime (5).

7. It should be verified that the aqueous exposure concentration to be applied is within the aqueous solubility range of the test chemical in the test media [*cf.* paragraph 17(d); paragraph 14(b)]. Different methods for maintaining stable concentrations of the dissolved test chemical can be used, such as the use of stock solutions or passive dosing systems (*e.g.* column elution method) (6). It should be demonstrated by regular measurements that stable concentrations can be maintained.

### PRINCIPLE OF THE TEST

8. The test consists of two phases: the exposure (uptake) and post-exposure (depuration) phases. During the uptake phase, a group of *H. azteca* (ca. 1200-1500 male amphipods) is exposed to the test chemical at one or more chosen concentrations, depending on the properties of the test chemical (*cf.* paragraphs 14 and 38). They are then transferred to a medium free of the test chemical for the depuration phase. The concentration of the test chemical in the analysed *H. azteca* is followed through both phases of the test. Parameters which characterise the bioaccumulation potential include the uptake rate constant ( $k_1$ ), the depuration rate constant ( $k_2$ ), the steady-state bioconcentration factor (BCF<sub>ss</sub>) and the kinetic bioconcentration factor (BCF<sub>K</sub>). In addition to the exposed group, a procedural water control should be included which is held under identical conditions (including sampling), to relate possible adverse effects observed in the bioconcentration test to a matching control group. If the use of solvent is required (*cf.* paragraph 23), one control containing the solvent, instead of the procedural water control, should be run in addition to the treatment group(s). The use of a control group doubles the number of *H. azteca* required to conduct a test.

9. In the bioconcentration test, the uptake phase is usually run for 3-14 days (*cf.* paragraph 28). A prediction of the length of the uptake phase and the time to steady-state can be made from empirical knowledge (3) and preliminary testing (*cf.* paragraph 27). The depuration period starts when the *H. azteca* are no longer exposed to the test chemical, by transferring the *H. azteca* to test medium without test chemical in a clean vessel.

10. The BCF should be calculated in two ways using both the kinetic and the steady-state approach. The kinetic bioconcentration factor (BCF<sub>K</sub>) is estimated as the ratio of the rate constants of uptake (k<sub>1</sub>) and depuration (k<sub>2</sub>) assuming first order kinetics (c*f*. Annex 1 definitions and units). The steady-state bioconcentration factor (BCF<sub>SS</sub>) is calculated as the ratio of concentration in the *H. azteca* (C<sub>H</sub>) and in the water (C<sub>w</sub>) at apparent steady-state (*cf*. paragraph 29 and Annex 5). In principle, the BCF<sub>SS</sub> should be comparable to the BCF<sub>K</sub> but deviations may occur (*cf*. paragraph 62). If a 'steady-state' is not achieved within 14 days the calculation of a BCF is based exclusively on the kinetic approach (*cf*. Annex 5).

11. The uptake rate constant, the depuration (loss) rate constant (or constants, where more complex models are involved), the bioconcentration factor (steady-state and/or kinetic), and, where possible, the confidence limits of each of these parameters are calculated from the model that best describes the measured concentrations of the test chemical in *H. azteca* and water (*cf.* Annex 5).

12. An increase in the mass of the *H. azteca* during the test can be neglected since adult individuals are used in the test (2). A correction of the kinetic BCF for the so-called growth dilution is therefore not necessary.

13. The BCF is based on the total concentration of the parent test chemical in *H. azteca* (*i.e.* per total wet weight of the sampled *H. azteca*). Since, for many organic chemicals, there is a clear relationship between the potential for bioconcentration and hydrophobicity, there is also a corresponding relationship between the lipid content of the test organism *H. azteca* and the observed bioconcentration of such chemicals. Thus, to reduce this source of variability in test results for test chemicals with log K<sub>OW</sub> >3, bioconcentration should be expressed as normalised to *H. azteca* with a default 3% lipid content (based on whole body wet weight). The lipid content of lab-raised *H. azteca* is usually in the range of 1-4% (w/w) (2). Lipid measurements should be carried out for amphipods collected directly from the study (*cf.* Annex 6). Lipid normalisation of BCF estimates is necessary to provide a basis from which results for different chemicals and studies can be compared against one another.

### **INFORMATION ON THE TEST CHEMICAL**

14. Before carrying out the bioaccumulation test, the following information about the test chemical are required:

(a) A validated analytical method is mandatory to quantify the test chemical (cf. paragraph 16);

(b) Solubility in water [OECD TG 105 (6)]; this has to be determined in accordance with a method (column elution method or flask method) that is appropriate for the (estimated) range of the solubility to obtain a reliable value;

(c) *n*-Octanol-water partition coefficient,  $K_{OW}$  [OECD TGs 107 (7), 117 (8), 123 (9)]; this has to be determined in accordance with a method that is appropriate for the (estimated) range of the  $K_{ow}$  to obtain a reliable value. TG 107 is a method for log  $K_{OW}$  values between -2 to 4 (occasionally up to 5), thus not suitable for high log  $K_{OW}$  chemicals, while TG 117 or TG 123 are most commonly used for higher log  $K_{OW}$  chemicals. Estimation of the log  $K_{OW}$  value using e.g. EPI Suite <sup>TM</sup> / KOWWIN<sup>TM</sup> may be an alternative or a first step if measured values are not available;

(d) Test chemical stability in water (hydrolysis [OECD TG 111 (10)]);

(e) Information on phototransformation relevant for the light conditions in the test (11);

(f) Surface tension (*i.e.* for chemicals where the log K<sub>OW</sub> cannot be determined) [OECD TG 115 (12)];

(g) Vapour pressure [OECD TG 104 (13)] and Henry's law constant;

(h) Any information on biotic or abiotic degradation in water, including ready biodegradability [OECD TGs 301 A to F (14), 310 (15)], where appropriate;

(i) Information on metabolites: structure, log Kow, formation and degradability, where appropriate;

(j) Acid dissociation constant (pK<sub>a</sub>) for test chemicals that might ionise. If possible the pH of the test water should be adjusted to ensure that the test chemical is in the unionised form in the test if compatible with *H. azteca*. Solutions of hydrochloric acid (HCl) or sodium hydroxide (NaOH) at strengths  $\leq 1$  N should normally be used for all pH adjustments (16). The adjusted pH of the test water should be in the recommended pH range 6.0 to 8.5 (*cf.* Paragraph 21).

15. An exposure concentration should be selected that does not cause adverse effects in the test species. If this information is not available, a toxicity range finder is conducted as preliminary experiment (*cf.* paragraph 39; Annex 9). Alternatively, other toxicity endpoints estimated from invertebrate tests can be used (*e.g.* OECD TGs 202 (17), 211 (18)).

16. An appropriate analytical method of known accuracy, precision and sensitivity should be available for the quantification of the test chemical in the test solutions and in biological material, together with details of sample preparation and storage. The analytical quantification limit of the test chemical in both water and *H. azteca* tissues should also be known. The test chemical used should be of the highest purity (*e.g.* preferably >98%). When a radiolabelled test chemical is used the percentage of radioactivity associated with impurities should be known.

### VALIDITY OF THE TEST

17. For a test to be valid the following criteria should be met:

(a) The water temperature variation in the test vessels should be within the range  $23\pm2^{\circ}C$  over the duration of the study. However, the temperature should not vary by more than  $\pm1^{\circ}C$  within any 24 hour period;

(b) The concentration of dissolved oxygen does not fall below 50% saturation during the test;

(c) The concentration of the test chemical in the test vessels is maintained within  $\pm 20\%$  of the mean of the measured values during the uptake phase;

(d) The concentration of the test chemical is below its limit of solubility in the test medium (taking into account the effect that the test medium composition may have on effective solubility);

(e) Mortality of *H. azteca* is less than 20% at the end of the test in both the control and treatment group(s). Mortality >20% at the end of the study may indicate potential toxicity of the test chemical or other critical issues related to the experimental conditions (*cf.* Annex 4).

### **REFERENCE CHEMICALS**

18. The use of reference chemicals of known bioconcentration potential (and low metabolism) would be useful in checking the experimental procedure, when required (*e.g.* when a laboratory has no previous experience with the test or experimental conditions have been changed). Furthermore, reference chemicals can help to confirm on a regular basis (e.g. once per year) that no drift for BCFs occurs. For a flow-through approach with test chemicals with elevated hydrophobicity (log  $K_{ow}$  5-6), hexachlorobenzene (HCB; CAS No. 118-74-1) would be an appropriate reference chemical. For a semi-static approach with moderately lipophilic test chemicals, prochloraz (CAS No. 67747-09-5 and terbutryn (CAS No. 886-50-0), *e.g.*, would be suitable. The three chemicals were applied during the HYBIT ring trial, the results of which provide information on the BCF ranges to be expected (2.). However, other chemicals can also be used with an appropriate reasoning.

### **DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD**

### Apparatus

19. Care should be taken to avoid the use of materials, for all parts of the equipment, that can dissolve, sorb or leach and have an adverse effect on *H. azteca*. Standard rectangular glass aquariums with a volume capacity of 20 L can be used as test vessels. In order to provide a refuge for *H. azteca*, a mesh of stainless steel (850-1000  $\mu$ m, tunnel shaped) can be added to the aquarium during the test. The use of soft plastic tubing should be minimised. Use stainless steel and/or glass tubing where it is possible. Teflon<sup>®</sup> tubing can

be used but should be avoided for specific chemicals (e.g. PFAS). It is preferable to expose test systems to concentrations of the test chemical to be used in the study for at least three days to demonstrate by daily analytical measurements the maintenance of stable exposure concentrations prior to the introduction of test organisms. Measures may be undertaken to avoid losses of difficult-to-test substances during exposure as for volatile, unstable or highly adsorptive test chemicals (e.g. flow-through preferred, covering tanks, increase of renewal frequency).

### Water

20. A reconstituted medium suitable for culturing and testing is described in Annex 2. Annex 3 describes other laboratory test waters and defines chemical and physical characteristics for tap water suitable to be used as dilution water. The dilution water, which is the water that is mixed with the test chemical before entering the test vessel (cf. paragraph 21-22), should be of a quality that will allow the survival of *H. azteca* for the duration of the acclimation and test periods without them showing any abnormal appearance or behaviour. A short acclimation phase (24-48h) is required to allow adaptation if a media change is performed between *H. azteca* husbandry and test performance. The dilution water should be characterised at least by pH, hardness, total solids, and total organic carbon (TOC) as well as ammonium, nitrite and alkalinity. Some chemical and physical characteristics of tap water suitable to be used as dilution water are given in Annex 3.

21. The dilution water should be of constant quality during the period of a test. The pH value should be within the range of pH 6.0-8.5 at test start, but during a given test it should remain within a range of  $\pm 0.5$  pH units. If tap water is used instead of a reconstituted medium, samples should be taken at regular intervals for determination of selected water parameters as given in Annex 3, in order to ensure that the dilution water will not unduly influence the test result (for example, by complexation of the test chemical) or adversely affect the performance of the stock of *H. azteca*. Measurements of the characteristics of the dilution water should be performed at least twice a year or whenever it is suspected that water parameters may have changed significantly.

22. The natural particle content as well as the TOC of the dilution water should be as low as possible to avoid adsorption of the test chemical to organic matter which may reduce its bioavailability and thus result in an underestimation of the BCF. The maximum acceptable value is 5 mg/L for particulate matter (dry matter, not passing a 0.45  $\mu$ m filter) and 2 mg/L for TOC (*cf.* Annex 3). If necessary, the dilution water should be filtered before use.

#### **Test Solutions**

23. A stock solution of the test chemical should be prepared at a suitable concentration, preferably by mixing or agitating in the dilution water. An alternative that may be appropriate in some cases is the use of a solid phase desorption dosing system (19). The use of solvents is generally not recommended (*cf.* (4)). However, the use of solvents may still be required in order to produce a suitably concentrated stock solution, but every effort should be made to minimise their use. Examples of solvents which can be used are acetone, ethanol, methanol, dimethyl formamide and triethylene glycol. The solvent concentration in the final test medium should be the same in all treatments (i.e. regardless of test chemical concentration) and should not exceed the corresponding toxicity thresholds determined for the solvent under the test conditions. The maximum level is a concentration of 100 mg/L (or 0.1 mL/L) (5). It is unlikely that a solvent concentration of 100 mg/L will significantly alter the maximum dissolved concentration of the test chemical which can be achieved in the medium (5). The test chemical concentration should be below the solubility limit of the test chemical in the test media in spite of the use of a solvent. The solvent's contribution (together with the test chemical) to the overall content of organic carbon in the test water

should be known. Throughout the test, the concentration of TOC in the test vessels should not exceed the concentration of organic carbon originating from the test chemical, and solvent, if used, by more than 10 mg/L ( $\pm 20\%$ ). Note that organic matter content can have a significant effect on the amount of freely dissolved test chemical, especially for highly lipophilic chemicals (20). Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) (21, 22) can provide important information on the ratio between bound and freely dissolved chemicals, of which the latter is assumed to represent the bioavailable fraction. Care should be taken when using readily biodegradable solvents (e.g. methanol) as these can cause problems with biofilm formation which can lead to dietary transfer of the test chemical and thus alter the uptake kinetics. If biofilm is formed it should be removed consistently or, if this is not possible, flow-through tests with a solvent-free dosing system such as solid phase desorption dosing (19) or passive dosing (23) should be considered (*cf.* paragraph 24).

24. For flow-through tests, a system which continuously dispenses and dilutes a stock solution of the test chemical (*e.g.* metering pump, proportional diluter, saturator system) or a solid phase desorption dosing system is required to deliver the test concentrations to the test vessels. In the preferred flow-through system, at least five-volume replacements of the test media should pass through each test vessel per day. A semi-static test system may be used for low to moderately hydrophobic test substances provided that the validity criteria are met (*cf.* paragraph 17). The flow rates of stock solutions and dilution water should be checked both 48 hours before and then at least daily during the test. It is recommended to check the flow rate in each test vessel (which should not vary by more than 20% between consecutive measurements) to ensure constant exposure conditions.

### Holding of H. azteca

25. The *H. azteca* used for the bioconcentration tests should ideally be derived from an in-house laboratory culture. If in-house husbandry is not possible then amphipods can be purchased from commercial sources. In this instance the amphipods need to be acclimatized to the laboratory conditions (media, feed and temperature) for at least one month. The recommended procedure for the laboratory husbandry of *H. azteca* is based on an established protocol using a culture medium containing essential mineral nutrients (24). The feed recommended for laboratory husbandry or acclimatization to laboratory conditions, differs from that used during the test. An example of suitable husbandry and culture conditions is given in Annex 2.

26. *H. azteca* used in tests should be free from observable diseases and abnormalities. Any diseased amphipods should be discarded. *H. azteca* should not receive treatment for disease in the two weeks preceding the test, or during the test.

### PERFORMANCE OF THE TEST

#### **Preliminary test**

27. It may be useful to conduct a preliminary experiment in order to optimise the test conditions of the definitive test, *e.g.* exposure regime, selection of test chemical concentration(s), duration of the uptake and depuration phases. In addition, regarding the toxicity of the test chemical, a proposal for a preliminary toxicity test is given in Annex 9.

#### **Conditions of Exposure**

#### Duration of uptake phase

28. In contrast to fish bioconcentration studies, a prediction of the duration of the uptake phase cannot be made based on equations (1), but can be estimated based on log  $K_{OW}$  values as previously described (3):

- $\log K_{OW} < 4: 3-4$  days
- $\log K_{OW} 4 5: 4 10 \text{ days}$
- $\log K_{OW} > 5$ : up to 14 days

29. The uptake phase should be run for a time period sufficiently long to guarantee that steady-state has been reached. A steady-state is reached in the plot of test chemical in H. azteca ( $C_H$ ) against time when the curve reaches a plateau and three successive analyses of C<sub>H</sub> made on samples taken at sufficiently large intervals relative to the uptake phase are within  $\pm 20\%$  of each other, and there is no significant increase of C<sub>H</sub> between the first and last successive analysis. For test chemicals with high hydrophobicity requiring an uptake phase of 10 days or more to reach steady-state, a sampling interval of 48 hours between each of the last three sampling points should be established to allow evaluation of steady-state conditions. For test chemicals with low to moderate hydrophobicity a shorter sampling interval (e.g. 24 hours between the last three sampling points) should be appropriate to evaluate steady-state conditions (cf. Annex 4). If steadystate has not been reached within 14 days of exposure, the BCF is calculated using the kinetic approach, which does not depend on steady-state. However, the test chemical concentration in H. azteca at the end of the uptake phase needs to be sufficiently high to ensure a reliable estimation of the uptake and elimination rate constants. In few cases no measurable uptake of the test chemical may have occurred at the end of the uptake period. If it can be demonstrated that: 1) the validity criteria in paragraph 17 are fulfilled; and 2) lack of uptake is not due to some other shortcoming of the test (e.g. uptake duration not long enough, lack of sensitivity of the analytical method, etc.); it may be possible to terminate the study without the need to re-run it.

### Duration of the depuration phase

30. The duration of the depuration phase should be at least as long as the uptake phase and should be long enough to allow a reduction by  $\geq 95\%$  in the body burden of the test chemical (mean steady-state tissue concentration) to occur. If the time required to reach 95% loss is impractically long, the study can be terminated after twice the duration of the uptake phase. If preliminary knowledge about the depuration behaviour of the test chemical is available, the depuration phase can be shortened or extended appropriately. If a test chemical is depurated very slowly such that an exact half-life may not be determined in the depuration phase, the information may still be sufficient for assessment purposes to indicate a high level of bioaccumulation.

#### Numbers of H. azteca in the test

31. The numbers of *H. azteca* per test (concentration) should be selected in a way that three replicate samples with a minimum of 20 amphipods each are available at each sampling time (i.e. a total minimum number of 60 amphipods per sampling time). The minimum of 20 individuals per sample is needed to ensure an appropriate sample mass ( $\geq$ 50 mg/pooled sample) for determination of test chemical concentration (labelled or non-labelled) in tissue. A higher amount of pooled *H. azteca* per replicate sample will be necessary if the targeted sample mass has not been reached (<50 mg/pooled sample) or if required by the analytical procedure. An increased number of samples per sampling time might be further required

if additional analyses are intended. The lipid content should be determined on additional *H. azteca* that have been sampled from the same test vessel concurrent with the amphipods used to determine the concentration of the test chemical (*cf.* paragraph 45). As for the chemical analysis, an appropriate number of amphipods (*e.g.* 20 amphipods/sample) with sufficient sample mass ( $\geq$ 50 mg/pooled sample) needs to be sampled to ensure an accurate determination of the lipid content (*cf.* Annex 6). Further amphipods (20% of estimated batch of *H. azteca*) should be added when stocking the test vessels to compensate potential losses. An example sampling scheme is provided in Annex 4. The number of male *H. azteca* required for a study is about 1200-1500 amphipods, which is doubled by setting up a control group (*cf.* paragraph 40).

32. Only sexually mature males (> 8 weeks old) are used to avoid reproduction during the test. Male *H. azteca* are used due to their more uniform size and lipid content compared to female *H. azteca*. The sexing procedure to select sexually mature male *H. azteca* is described in Annex 7. Test amphipods should have an average weight of  $\geq$ 2.5 mg (w/w) and should not be older than 6 months at the start of a study.

33. *H. azteca* used within one study should derive from the same source and culture batch. The approximate age of the test amphipods should be recorded.

### Loading

34. The test vessel should be filled with a sufficient volume (*e.g.* 15 L) of test medium to provide a low biomass-to-water ratio (*e.g.* 100 *H. azteca*/L) in the test system (semi-static exposure). In this way, a potential reduction in the concentration of the test chemical in water caused by the addition of the *H. azteca* at the start of the test can be neglected even if the biomass-to-water ratio is increased temporarily to a higher value (*e.g.* 150 *H. azteca*/L). Under flow-through conditions, at least five volume replacements of the test media should pass through each test vessel per day leading to a further decrease of the biomass-to-water ratio compared to the semi-static exposure. Under both regimes no aeration is commonly required to keep the concentration of dissolved oxygen above 50% saturation during the course of a study (*cf.* paragraph 17). However, aeration may be applied if required to maintain sufficient oxygen supply during the test.

### Feeding

35. During the test period, *H. azteca* are fed with ground agar-agar-bound fish flakes (so called Decotabs). The feed is applied daily. At the beginning of the study, a higher amount of feed is supplied. Five cubes suffice for approx. 1000 *H. azteca*. With the number of test amphipods being reduced in the course of the study, the number of applied Decotab cubes is reduced accordingly. Uneaten Decotabs should be removed before adding fresh feed. Before the application, each cube is subdivided in smaller portions. Using Decotabs as feed will ensure that the *H. azteca* receive an appropriate diet of known lipid and total protein content in an amount sufficient to keep them in a healthy condition and to maintain body weight. A detailed protocol for preparing the Decotabs is described in Annex 8. Decotab-feeding has been shown to be the most appropriate feeding approach for *H. azteca* in BCF studies (24) and is thus the preferred feeding method for the HYBIT tests, however, this does not prevent other suitable methods from being used, provided they have demonstrated to fulfil the purpose equivalently, e.g. by compliance with the respective validity criteria. While a BCF is calculated based on bioconcentration from the water phase, due to Decotab-feeding a dietary component cannot be excluded. However, the effect of the dietary uptake on the BCF estimate can be considered negligible, but depending on the test chemical chemistry, this may need to be investigated further as described in reference (25).

36. The test vessels need to be kept as clean as possible throughout the test to keep the concentration of organic matter (e.g. amphipod excreta and feed residues) as low as possible. The test vessel cleaning

routine will depend on the chosen test setup and exposure method. Decotab-feeding has been shown to have no significant effect on water quality. Further to this no increase in TOC was measured in the test vessels above the recommended threshold value of 10 mg/L (2).

### Light and temperature

37. A 16-hour photoperiod and a temperature range of  $23\pm2^{\circ}$ C are recommended. Wide-spectrum fluorescent lamps (840 K) should be used with LED light sources representing a potential alternative to fluorescent lighting. Light intensity is measured at the water surface of the vessel and should not exceed 8-16  $\mu$ E•m<sup>-2</sup>•s<sup>-1</sup> or 500 to 1000 lx.

### Test concentrations

38. The test was originally designed for neutral organic chemicals. The exposure to a single concentration of this type of chemical is sufficient, as no concentration effect is expected to affect the determined bioconcentration. This exposure concentration should be well below the water solubility and toxicity limits. If test chemicals outside this domain are tested, or other indications of possible concentration dependence are known, the test has to be run with two or more concentrations. If only one concentration is tested for such chemicals, justification for the use of one concentration should be given.

39. Toxic effects are not desired and should be avoided in bioconcentration studies. It is therefore important to select an exposure concentration that does not cause adverse effects in the test species. However, sufficient information on the toxicity of the test chemical to aquatic invertebrates and/or *H. azteca* is not always available. A proposal for a toxicity test as preliminary experiment for HYBIT tests is described in Annex 9. Alternatively, a toxicity range finder can be run over the expected time of the uptake period to estimate a no observed effect concentration (NOEC) for the following HYBIT test. Generally, the exposure concentration should be at least an order of magnitude above its limit of quantification in water as determined by the analytical method. In addition, care should be taken that the test concentration is below the solubility limit of the test chemical in the test media.

### Control

40. A procedural water control should be included to demonstrate that the test conditions provided are appropriate for *H. azteca*, ensuring a sufficient survival throughout the study and to obtain background concentrations of test substance in control water and amphipods. *H. azteca* of the control group should be sampled in accordance to the test group to keep the stocking densities in both groups on the same level. The weight and lipid content of the control *H. azteca* should be determined in amphipods collected from the test system at the start and end of the uptake phase and at the end of the depuration phase. At each of the three samplings, three replicates (n = 3; 20 *H. azteca* pooled each) are taken from the test vessel each (*cf.* paragraph 45 and 54). If a solvent has been used to prepare an appropriately concentrated stock solution, the control group should be treated in exactly the same way, but without the test chemical, so that the solvent concentration is the same in the procedural water control (solvent control) and all treatment group(s). If test conditions require pH adjustment of the dilution water the pH of the procedural water control should be adjusted accordingly.

### **Frequency of Water Quality Measurements**

41. During the test, dissolved oxygen, TOC, total hardness, pH and temperature are measured in all test vessels. Dissolved oxygen, pH, and temperature are measured and recorded daily. For tests where uptake phase and/or depuration phase have a duration longer than one week, adjusted measurement intervals of oxygen and pH are allowed, *e.g.* measurement in parallel to the *H. azteca* and water sampling schedule.

TOC is measured at the beginning of the test before addition of the *H. azteca*, at the end of the uptake phase and at the beginning and end of the depuration phase. If an organic solvent is used in the uptake phase, an increased number of measuring intervals for TOC may be applied. Hardness should be determined at the beginning of the test.

### Sampling and Analysis of H. azteca and Water

#### H. azteca and water sampling schedule

42. Water samples are collected from the test vessel for the determination of test chemical concentration before addition of the *H. azteca* to the test system and during both, uptake and depuration phases. The water samples (e.g. duplicates: 1 for analysis and 1 retain sample) are taken before feeding, at the same time as the *H. azteca* sampling. During the uptake phase, the concentrations of test chemical should be determined in order to check compliance with the validity criteria (*cf.* paragraph 17). The concentration of the test chemical measured in the test solution should be maintained within  $\pm 20\%$  of the mean of the concentrations measured during the uptake phase. During the depuration phase, additional water samples should be taken as a precautionary measure to confirm the absence of contamination in the test system during the depuration phase. In the flow-through test setup, taking a single water sample at the start of the depuration phase (within 60 minutes following transfer of all remaining *H. azteca* to water free of test chemical) is sufficient, provided that the results of the water analysis show that the test chemical is not detected (<LOQ, limit of quantification). If the test chemical is still detected during the depuration phase, the water exchange rate should be increased and further measurements should be performed on a daily basis until the concentration is below the LOQ.

43. In the semi-static test setup, analysis of test chemical concentration should be carried out on fresh and aged media collected throughout the uptake phase. Aged media samples are taken prior to the daily media exchange. The number of analyses may be reduced when either of the following has been demonstrated: 1) the test item is stable (between 80 and 120% of nominal) within the test system between renewable periods, or 2) where the reduction in the aged sample medium has been demonstrated to be reproducible from one renewal event to another. Media exchange intervals can be shortened or extended to provide stable exposure conditions. The media exchange is carried out by preparing a (clean) vessel filled with fresh test medium (uptake phase) or dilution water (depuration phase) and transferring all remaining amphipods into the new vessel using a small dip net. Under semi-static conditions water samples should be taken at least prior to the first medium exchange following the start of the depuration phase.

44. *H. azteca* are sampled on at least five sampling times during the uptake phase and on at least four sampling times during the depuration phase to successfully capture both, the steady-state concentrations and the kinetics. Since in some cases it is difficult to calculate a reasonably precise estimate of the BCF value based on this number of samples (especially when other than simple first order uptake and depuration kinetics are indicated), it may be advisable to take samples at a higher frequency in both periods (*cf.* Annex 4). The sampling time points will depend on the test chemical characteristic as well as on the chosen test setup. At each sampling, three replicates (n = 3; 20 *H. azteca* pooled each) are taken from the test vessel and their wet weight determined (*cf.* paragraph 54).

45. The lipid content should be determined in amphipods (control group and test group) collected from the test system at least at the start and end of the uptake phase and at the end of the depuration phase. At each sampling, three replicates (n = 3; 20 *H. azteca* pooled each) are taken from the test vessel and their wet weight determined (*cf.* paragraph 54). The number of *H. azteca* per test vessel at the start of the experiment should be adjusted accordingly. Individuals that appear unhealthy (*e.g.* discoloured), inactive, or dead when gently prodded should not be analysed for test chemical or lipid concentration and be removed on daily inspections.

46. Before beginning the depuration phase, the amphipods are transferred to clean vessels. They are collected e.g. with a fine-mesh dip net, carefully rinsed with control medium and then added to the respective depuration vessel. The rinsing step is required to remove media residues which should not be transferred to the clean vessel.

47. At the end of the experiment, the surviving amphipods are counted. For the calculation of the mortality rate, missing organisms are considered to be dead. The test system is designed (biomass-to-water ratio, shelter, food) to keep potential losses due to cannibalism as low as possible.

### Sampling and sample preparation

48. Water samples for analysis are obtained e.g. by siphoning through inert tubing from a central point in the test vessel. Alternatively, water samples may be taken using a suitable pipette (*e.g.* 10 mL) after mixing the water in the test vessel by carefully stirring. Especially for highly hydrophobic chemicals (i.e. those chemicals with a log  $K_{OW} >5$ ) where adsorption to filter matrix or centrifugation vials could occur, collected samples should neither be subjected to filtration nor centrifugation. Instead, measures should be taken to keep the tanks as clean as possible (*cf.* paragraph 36) and the content of TOC should be monitored during both the uptake and depuration phases (*cf.* paragraph 20 and 41). To avoid possible issues with reduced bioavailability, sampling by SPME techniques may be used for poorly soluble and highly hydrophobic test chemicals.

49. Amphipods are collected with a fine-mesh dip net, carefully rinsed with water and blotted dry individually with a lint-free tissue which may also help to remove test chemical which is loosely associated with the surface of the amphipods. Finally, the fresh weight of the pooled samples is determined.

50. All samples should be analysed preferably immediately after sampling in order to prevent degradation or other losses of the test chemical and to be able to monitor the test concentration throughout the test period. Failing immediate analysis, the samples should be stored under appropriate conditions. Before the beginning of the study, information on the proper method of storage for the particular test chemical has to be gathered – for example, deep-freezing, holding at 4°C, duration of storage, extraction, etc. The validation report provides valuable information on the extraction of substances from *H. azteca* samples and could be used as a starting point for developing suitable extraction methods.

### Quality of analytical method

51. The analytical method used to measure the test chemical from both water and amphipods should be validated before use. Accordingly, it should be checked experimentally if the accuracy, precision, sensitivity and reproducibility of the analytical method, as well as the recovery of the test chemical from both water and amphipods are satisfactory for the particular method. Also, it should be asserted that the test chemical is not detectable in the dilution water used. Control water and amphipods collected at test start are analysed to exclude background concentrations of the test substance. Both, amphipod and water samples should be handled in such a manner as to minimise contamination and loss (e.g. resulting from adsorption by the sampling device) throughout the study.

#### Analysis of H. azteca tissue samples

52. The concentration of the test chemical should be determined for each weighed pooled sample. If radiolabelled test chemicals are used, analysis is commonly based on TRR (parent test chemical, retained metabolites and bound (non-extractable) residues). The TRR may be further separated so that the parent test chemical can be analysed separately.

53. BCF values for lipid accumulating test chemicals should be expressed as normalised to a tissue with a 3% lipid content (based on wet weight) in addition to that derived directly from the study. A suitable method should be used for determination of the amphipods' lipid content. The Smedes-method with a

down-scaled protocol for small sample masses is recommended for *H. azteca* (3, 26, 27); however, this does not prevent other suitable methods from being used, provided they have demonstrated to fulfil the purpose equivalently, e.g. by compliance with the respective validity criteria. Generally, care should be taken to ensure that a suitable microbalance (*e.g.* precision 0.01 mg) is used to determine the small sample masses that occur when determining the lipid content of the collected amphipods.

#### H. azteca weight measurement

54. The average weight of *H. azteca* (determined for each pooled replicate sample) collected at each sampling event should be measured (after careful blotting) before the test chemical (or lipid) analysis is conducted. The use of an appropriate microbalance is required (*cf.* paragraph 53).

### DATA AND REPORTING

### **Treatment of results**

55. The uptake curve of the test chemical is obtained by plotting its concentration in *H. azteca* ( $C_H$ ) in the uptake phase against time on arithmetic scales. If the curve has reached a plateau, i.e. is approximately asymptotic to the time axis (*cf.* paragraph 29), and there is no significant increase in tissue concentration among the last three samplings, calculate the steady-state BCF (BCF<sub>ss</sub>) from:

$$BCF_{SS} = \frac{C_H \text{ at steady state (mean)}}{C_W \text{ as time weighted average (TWA)}}$$
[Equation 1]

As the mean exposure concentration ( $C_W$ ) is influenced by variation over time, the time-weighted average water concentration (TWA  $C_W$ ) is more relevant and precise for bioaccumulation studies (especially under semi-static conditions), even if variation is within the appropriate validity range ( $\pm 20\%$  of the mean of the measured values). The TWA  $C_W$  is therefore in any case calculated according to Annex 5.

56. The kinetic bioconcentration factor (BCF<sub>K</sub>) is determined as the ratio  $k_1/k_2$ , the two first order kinetic rate constants. Rate constants  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  and BCF<sub>K</sub> can be derived by simultaneously fitting both the uptake and the depuration phase (*cf.* Annex 5). Alternatively,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  can be determined sequentially (*cf.* Annex 5). If the uptake and/or depuration curve is obviously not first order, then more complex models should be employed (*cf.* references in Annex 5).

### H. azteca weight data

57. *H. azteca* wet weights should be determined individually for each of the (triplicate) pooled samples taken at each sampling interval for test and control groups during the uptake and the depuration phases. Care should be taken that a suitable balance (*e.g.* precision 0.01 mg) is used to determine the small mass of the test organisms.

#### Kinetic and steady-state bioconcentration factors

58. Kinetic and steady-state bioconcentration factors should also be reported relative to a default tissue lipid content of 3% (w/w), unless there is evidence that the test chemical does not primarily accumulate in lipid. *H. azteca* tissue concentration data, or the BCF, are normalised according to the ratio between 3% (*cf.* paragraph 13) and the actual (individual) mean lipid content (in % wet weight) estimated in *H. azteca* collected for lipid analysis from the treatment group (*cf.* paragraph 45). Lipid normalisation of BCF<sub>K</sub> and BCF<sub>SS</sub> values is required to improve the comparability of the results from different *H. azteca* bioconcentration tests.

#### **Interpretation of results**

59. Concentrations above the LOQ of the analytical method can be quantified in a reliable way. However, the results should be interpreted with caution where measured concentrations of test solutions occur at levels below LOQ or even near the limit of detection (LOD) of the analytical method.

60. Clearly defined uptake and depuration curves are an indication of good quality bioconcentration data. For the rate constants, the result of a  $\chi^2$  goodness-of-fit-test should show a good fit (i.e. small measurement error percentage (28)) for the bioaccumulation model, so that the rate constants can be considered reliable (*cf.* Annex 5).

61. If two or more concentrations are tested, the results of both or all concentrations are used to examine whether the results are consistent and to show whether there is a concentration dependence of the BCF.

62. Theoretically, a steady state BCF and a kinetic BCF should be the same. However, an important factor that can influence a steady state BCF compared with a kinetic BCF is the amphipods' growth, as growth dilution is not taken into account in a steady state BCF. However, growth can be neglected in *H. azteca* BCF calculation due to the short duration of the studies and the use of adult male *H. azteca* in the tests. In cases where the BCF<sub>SS</sub> tends to be higher than the BCF<sub>K</sub>, the derivation of the uptake and depuration rate constants should be checked for errors and re-evaluated. A different fitting procedure might improve the estimate of BCF<sub>K</sub> (*cf.* Annex 5).

### **Test Report**

63. The test report should include the following information:

### Test chemical

- Physical nature and, where relevant, physicochemical properties
  - Chemical identification data, such as IUPAC or CAS name, CAS number, SMILES or InChI code, structural formula, purity, chemical identity of impurities as appropriate and practically feasible, (including the organic carbon content, if appropriate), etc.;
    - For hydrophobic test chemicals provide n-octanol-water partition coefficient (K<sub>OW</sub>);
  - For multi-constituent substances and UVCB (chemical substances of unknown or variable composition, complex reaction products and biological materials) describe as far as possible the chemical identity of the individual constituents and for each its percentage of the total mass of the substance. Summarize how the analytical method used in the test reflects a measure of the concentration of the substance;
  - For radiolabelled chemicals, the specific activity, the precise position of the labelled atom(s) and the percentage of radioactivity associated with impurities;
    - Storage conditions of the test chemical.

### Analytical method

• Complete description of all test chemical analysis procedures employed including limits of detection and quantification, variability and recovery efficiency, matrix used for standard preparations, internal standard, etc.

### Test species

- Scientific name, source, clade of *H. azteca*, any pre-treatment, any acclimation, average wet weight at collection, age, sex.
- Detailed information on husbandry;

### Test conditions

- Test procedure used (*e.g.* flow-through or semi-static); application method (*e.g.* stock solutions or passive dosing systems);
- Type and characteristics of illumination used and photoperiod(s);
- Detailed information on husbandry;
- Test design (*e.g.* number and size of test vessels, water volume replacement rate, loading rate, number of replicates, number of *H. azteca* per replicate, number of test concentrations (if applicable), length of uptake and depuration phases, sampling frequency for *H. azteca* and water samples);
- Test duration and date of introduction of test organisms to test solutions;
- Type and characteristics of illumination used and photoperiod(s);
- Method of preparation of stock solutions and frequency of renewal (the solvent, its concentration and its contribution to the organic carbon content of test water should be given, when used) or description of alternative dosing system;
- The nominal test concentration in the test medium;
- Type of dilution water: reconstituted medium, tap water including description of any pre-treatment
- Characteristics of dilution water: pH, hardness, temperature, dissolved oxygen concentration, residual chlorine levels (if measured), TOC, and any other measurements made;
- Characteristics of the test solutions: pH, hardness, TOC, temperature and dissolved oxygen concentration including methods used and frequency of measurements;
- Detailed information on feeding, e.g. type of food(s), source, amount given, and frequency as well as cleaning of the test vessels;
- Information on the treatment of *H. azteca* and water samples, including details of preparation, storage, extraction and analytical procedures (and precision) for the test chemical and lipid content.

### Results

- Results from any preliminary work including toxicity investigations;
- Mortality of the *H. azteca* during the study detailed information in test and control groups;
- Information on any adverse effects observed (*e.g.*, discoloured or inactive amphipods, water turbidity);
- Complete description of all analytical procedures employed including limits of detection and quantification, variability and recovery;
- The lipid content of the *H. azteca*, including the method used, and if derived lipid normalisation factor (Ln, factor to express results relative to a tissue lipid content of 3%);
- Tabulated *H. azteca* wet weight data, linked to each sample's chemical concentrations, both for control and exposure groups;
- Tabulated test chemical concentration data in *H. azteca* (C<sub>H</sub>, linked to each individual sample; where relevant considering also test chemical present in the bound residue) and water (C<sub>w</sub>) (with TWA values for test group, standard deviation and range, if appropriate) for each sampling time (C<sub>H</sub> expressed in mg/kg wet weight of whole body or specified tissues thereof *e.g.*, lipid, and C<sub>w</sub> in mg/L). C<sub>w</sub> values for the control series (background should also be reported);
- Test chemical concentration data below LOQ are set to zero.
- Plots (including all measured data) showing the following:

- the uptake and depuration of the test chemical in H. azteca
- the time to steady-state (if achieved)
- both uptake and depuration phase curves, showing both the data and the fitted model
- The steady-state bioconcentration factor (BCF<sub>SS</sub>), if steady-state is achieved;
- The kinetic bioconcentration factor (BCF<sub>K</sub>) and derived uptake and depuration rate constants k<sub>1</sub> and k<sub>2</sub>, together with the variances/errors in k<sub>2</sub> (slope and intercept if sequential fitting is used);
- Confidence limits, standard deviation (SD) (as available) and methods of computation/data analysis for each parameter for each concentration of test chemical used;
- Any information concerning metabolites and their accumulation;
- Anything unusual about the test, any deviation from these procedures, and any other relevant information;
- A summary table of relevant measured and calculated data, as exemplified below:

# Table 1: Exemplary table to list uptake and depuration rate constants and bioconcentration factors of a HYBIT study

k <sub>1</sub> (overall uptake rate constant; L kg <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	Insert Value (95% CI)(1)
$k_2$ (overall depuration rate constant; day <sup>-1</sup> )	Insert Value (95% CI)(1)
$C_{H}$ (chemical concentration in <i>H. azteca</i> at steady-state <sub>(1)</sub> ; mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Insert Value $\pm$ SD(2)
$C_w$ (TWA; chemical concentration in the water; mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Insert Value $\pm$ SD(2)
BCF <sub>ss</sub> (steady-state BCF; L kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Insert Value $\pm$ SD(2)
BCF <sub>sst</sub> (lipid normalised steady-state BCF; L kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Insert Value $\pm$ SD(2)
$BCF_{\kappa}$ (kinetic BCF; L kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Insert Value (95% CI) <sup>(1)</sup>
BCF <sub>KL</sub> (lipid normalised kinetic BCF; L kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Insert Value (95% CI) <sup>(1)</sup>

(1) CI: confidence interval (where possible to calculate).

(2) SD: Standard deviation (where possible to calculate).

### REFERENCES

- 1. OECD (2012), Test No. 305: Bioaccumulation in Fish: Aqueous and Dietary Exposure, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 3, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264185296-en.
- OECD (2024). Multi-laboratory ring trial to support development of OECD Test Guideline on Hyalella azteca bioconcentration test (HYBIT). Series on Tetsing and Assessment No. 403, ENV Publications, OECD, Paris. ENV/CBC/MONO(2024)18.
- 3. Schlechtriem C, Kampe S, Bruckert HJ, Bischof I, Ebersbach I, Kosfeld V, Kotthoff M, Schäfers C, L'Haridon J (2019), Bioconcentration studies with the freshwater amphipod *Hyalella azteca*: are the results predictive of bioconcentration in fish? Environ Sci Pollut Res 26:1628–1641. doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3677-4.
- 4. Schlechtriem C, Kühr S, Müller C (2022), Development of a bioaccumulation test using *Hyalella azteca*. TEXTE 134/2022, German Environment Agency, https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/sites/default/files/medien/1410/publikationen/2022-12-06 texte 134-2022 development-bioaccumulation-test-hyalella-azteca 0.pdf.
- 5. OECD (2019), Guidance Document on Aquatic Toxicity Testing of Difficult Substances and Mixtures, OECD Series on Testing and Assessment No. 23, (Second Edition), OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/0ed2f88e-en.
- 6. OECD (1995), Test No. 105: Water Solubility, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069589-en.
- 7. OECD (1995), Test No. 107: Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water): Shake Flask Method, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069626-en.
- 8. OECD (2022), Test No. 117: Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water), HPLC Method, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069824-en.
- 9. OECD (2022), Test No. 123: Partition Coefficient (1-octanol/Water): Slow-Stirring Method, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264015845-en.
- 10. OECD (2004), Test No. 111: Hydrolysis as a Function of pH, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069701-en.
- 11. OECD (1997), Guidance Document on Direct Phototransformation of Chemicals in Water, OECD Series on Testing and Assessment, No. 7, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264078000-en.
- OECD (1995), Test No. 115: Surface Tension of Aqueous Solutions, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069787en.
- 13. OECD (2006), Test No. 104: Vapour Pressure, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069565-en.
- 14. OECD (1992), Test No. 301: Ready Biodegradability, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 3, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264070349-en.
- 15. OECD (2014), Test No. 310: Ready Biodegradability CO<sub>2</sub> in sealed vessels (Headspace Test), OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 3, OECD Publishing, Paris, <u>https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264224506-en</u>.
- 16. Environment and Climate Change Canada (2017), Biological Test Method: Test for Survival and Growth in Sediment and Water Using the Freshwater Amphipod *Hyalella azteca*. Method Development and Applications, Unit Science and Technology Branch Environment and Climate Change Canada, Ottawa, Ontario Report RM/33, Third Edition September 2017.

- 17. OECD (2004), Test No. 202: *Daphnia sp.* Acute Immobilisation Test, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 2, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069947-en.
- OECD (2012), Test No. 211: Daphnia magna Reproduction Test, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 2, OECD Publishing, Paris, <u>https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264185203-en</u>.
- 19. Schlechtriem C, Böhm L, Bebon R, Bruckert HJ, Düring RA (2017), Fish bioconcentration studies with column-generated analyte concentrations of highly hydrophobic organic chemicals. Environ Toxicol Chem; 36(4):906–916.
- Parkerton TF, Arnot JA, Weisbrod AV, Russom C, Hoke RA, Woodburn K, Traas T, Bonnell M, Burkhard LP, Lampi MA (2008), Guidance for evaluating in vivo fish bioaccumulation data. Integr Environ Assess Manag 4:139-155. https://doi.org/10.1897/IEAM\_2007-057.1.
- 21. Böhm L, Düring RA, Schlechtriem C (2017), Can solid-phase microextraction replace solvent extraction for water analysis in fish bioconcentration studies? Environ Toxicol Chem 36:2887-2894.
- 22. Böhm L, Schlechtriem C, Düring RA (2016), Sorption of highly hydrophobic chemicals to organic matter relevant for fish bioconcentration studies. Environ Sci Technol. 50(15):8316–8323. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01778.
- 23. Wang H, Birch H, Sjøholm KK, Xia X, Mayer P (2022), In-tube passive dosing of hydrophobic organic chemicals: Controlling freely dissolved concentrations in flow-through and large-volume experiments. Environ Sci Technol Letters, 9(4):339-344. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00158.
- 24. Borgmann U (1996), Systematic analysis of aqueous ion requirements of *Hyalella azteca*: A standard artificial medium including the essential bromide ion. Arch Env Contam Toxicol. 30(3):356–363.
- 25. Kosfeld V, Fu Q, Ebersbach I, Esser D, Schauerte A, Bischof I, Hollender J, Schlechtriem C (2020), Comparison of alternative methods for bioaccumulation assessment: Scope and limitations of in vitro depletion assays with rainbow trout and bioconcentration tests in the freshwater amphipod *Hyalella azteca*. Environ Toxicol Chem 39(9):1813–1825. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4791.
- 26. Smedes F (1999), Determination of total lipid using non-chlorinated solvents. Analyst 124(11):1711– 8. http://xlink.rsc.org/?DOI=a905904k.
- 27. Schlechtriem C, Fliedner A, Schäfers C (2012), Determination of lipid content in fish samples from bioaccumulation studies: Contributions to the revision of guideline OECD 305. Environ Sci Eur 24(4):1-7. https://doi.org/10.1186/2190-4715-24-13.
- OECD (2006), OECD Environmental Health and Safety Publications Series on Testing and Assessment No. 54, Current approaches in the statistical analysis of ecotoxicity data: a guidance to application. <u>ENV/JM/MONO(2006)18</u>. OECD Publishing, Paris, France.

### **ANNEX 1: DEFINITIONS AND UNITS**

- <u>BCF</u>: The bioconcentration factor (BCF) at any time during the uptake phase of this accumulation test is the concentration of test chemical in *H. azteca* ( $C_H$  as mg/kg) divided by the concentration of the chemical in the surrounding medium ( $C_w$  as mg/L). BCF is expressed in L·kg<sup>-1</sup>. Please note that corrections for a standard lipid content are not accounted for.
- <u>BCF<sub>K</sub></u>: The kinetic bioconcentration factor (BCF<sub>K</sub>) is the ratio of the uptake rate constant,  $k_1$ , to the depuration rate constant,  $k_2$  (i.e.  $k_1/k_2 cf$ . respective definitions). In principle the value should be comparable to the BCF<sub>SS</sub> (*cf*. respective definition), but deviations may occur if steady-state was uncertain.
- <u>BCF<sub>KL</sub></u>: The lipid normalised kinetic bioconcentration factor (BCF<sub>KL</sub>) is normalised to *H. azteca* tissue with a 3% lipid content.
- <u>BCF<sub>SS</sub></u>: The steady-state bioconcentration factor (BCF<sub>SS</sub>) does not change significantly over a prolonged period of time, the concentration of the test chemical in the surrounding medium being constant during this period of time (*cf.* definition of steady-state).
- <u>BCF<sub>SSL</sub></u>: The lipid normalised steady-state bioconcentration factor (BCF<sub>SSL</sub>) is normalised to *H. azteca* tissue with a 3% lipid content.
- <u>Bioaccumulation</u>: Bioaccumulation is generally referred to as a process in which the chemical concentration in an organism achieves a level that exceeds that in the respiratory medium (e.g. water for a fish or air for a mammal), the diet, or both (1).
- <u>Bioconcentration</u>: Bioconcentration is the increase in concentration of the test chemical in or on an organism (or specified tissues thereof) relative to the concentration of test chemical in the surrounding medium.
- $\underline{C_{w}} = Concentration of test chemical in water.$

 $\underline{C_{H}} = Concentration of test chemical in$ *H. azteca*.

- <u>Depuration phase</u>: The depuration or post-exposure (loss) phase is the time, following the transfer of the test *H. azteca* from a medium containing test chemical to a medium free of that chemical, during which the depuration (or the net loss) of the chemical from the test *H. azteca* (or specified tissue thereof) is studied.
- <u>DOC</u>: Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) is a measure of the concentration of carbon (mg/L) originating from dissolved organic sources in the test media.
- Exposure phase: cf. 'Uptake phase'.
- <u>HCB</u>: Hexachlorobenzene is an organochloride with the molecular formula  $C_6Cl_6$ .
- <u>k<sub>1</sub></u>: The uptake rate constant (k<sub>1</sub>) is the numerical value defining the rate of increase in the concentration of test chemical in test *H. azteca* (or specified tissues thereof) when the *H. azteca* are exposed to that chemical (k<sub>1</sub> is expressed in L kg<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>).
- <u>k</u><sub>2</sub>: The depuration (loss) rate constant (k<sub>2</sub>) is the numerical value defining the rate of reduction in the concentration of the test chemical in the test *H. azteca* (or specified tissues thereof) following the transfer of the test *H. azteca* from a medium containing the test chemical to a medium free of that chemical (k<sub>2</sub> is expressed in day<sup>-1</sup>).
- <u>K<sub>OW</sub></u>: The octanol-water partition coefficient (K<sub>OW</sub>) is the ratio of a chemical's solubility in n-octanol and water at equilibrium (OECD Guidelines 107 (2), 117 (3), 123 (4)); also expressed as  $P_{OW}$ . The logarithm of K<sub>OW</sub> is used as an indication of a chemical's potential for bioconcentration by aquatic organisms.
- <u>LC<sub>50</sub></u>: Lethal Concentration 50. The exposure concentration (mg/L) of a toxic substance lethal to half of the test amphipods.
- LOD: Limit of detection  $(\mu g/L)$ .
- LOQ: Limit of quantification (µg/L).
- <u>NOEC</u>: No observed effect concentration (mg/L).
- <u>SD</u>: Standard deviation.

- <u>SPME</u>: Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) is a solvent-free analytical technique developed for dilute systems. In this method, a polymercoated fiber is exposed to the gas or liquid phase containing the analyte of interest. Generally, a minimum analysis time is imposed so that equilibrium conditions are established between the solid and fluid phases, with respect to the measured species. Subsequently the concentration of the analyte of interest can be determined directly from the fiber or after extracting it from the fiber into a solvent, depending on the determination technique.
- <u>Steady-state</u>: A steady-state is reached in the plot of test chemical in *H. azteca* ( $C_H$ ) against time when the curve becomes parallel to the time axis and three successive analyses of  $C_H$  within an appropriate time spacing are within  $\pm 20\%$  of each other, and there are no significant increases among the three sampling periods. When pooled samples are analysed at least three successive analyses are required. For test chemicals which are taken up slowly the intervals need to be adjusted accordingly.
- <u>TOC</u>: Total organic carbon (mg/L C) is a measure of the concentration of carbon originating from all organic sources in the test media, including particulate and dissolved sources.

TRR: Total radioactive residues.

<u>TWA</u>: Time-weighted average (water concentration, mg/L).

<u>Uptake phase</u>: The exposure or uptake phase is the time during which the *H. azteca* are exposed to the test chemical.

<u>UVCB</u>: Chemical substances of unknown or variable composition, complex reaction products and biological materials.

### **References:**

- 1. Gobas FA, de Wolf W, Burkhard LP, Verbruggen E, Plotzke K. 2009, Revisiting bioaccumulation criteria for POPs and PBT assessments. Integr Environ Assess Manag 5:624–637.
- 2. OECD (1995), Test No. 107: Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water): Shake Flask Method, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069626-en.
- 3. OECD (2022), Test No. 117: Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water), HPLC Method, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069824-en.
- 4. OECD (2022), Test No. 123: Partition Coefficient (1-Octanol/Water): Slow-Stirring Method, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264015845-en.

## ANNEX 2: PROPOSAL FOR A LABORATORY HUSBANDRY METHOD FOR HYALELLA AZTECA

The suggested procedure for the laboratory husbandry of *H. azteca* is based on the protocol of Borgmann (1). During husbandry, the amphipods (15 males and 15 females) are kept in culture medium in 2 L beakers (e.g. polypropylene). The culture medium is based on an established method (1) and contains the essential mineral nutrients (Table A2-1). For the preparation, 500-fold concentrated stock solutions of the minerals (solution 1-3, cf. Table A2-1) are used. The 2 L beakers are filled up with holding and dilution water or deionized water and the three solutions are added (each 4 ml). The water quality of the resulting culture medium should be approximately the following: hardness, 120 to 140 mg/L as calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>); alkalinity, 60 to 80 mg/L as CaCO<sub>3</sub>; conductivity, 300 to 500  $\mu$ S/cm; and pH, 6.5 to 8.0 (2). 

 Table A2-1: Culture medium H. azteca (1)

140101111 11				
		Culture medium [mM]	Stock solution [mM]	Stock solution [g/L]
Solution 1	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	1	500	73.51
	NaBr	0.01	5	0.5145
	KCl	0.05	25	1.864
Solution 2	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	1	500	42.0
Solution 3	MgSO <sub>4</sub>	0.25	125	30.81

The use of other culture media (e.g. Elendt M4) for laboratory husbandry of *H. azteca* is possible as long as a comparable reproduction and health status of the amphipods is ensured. A detailed description of the culture conditions needs to be included in the test report.

General holding conditions:

Table A 2-2: Temperature, aeration and light conditions during H.	. azteca husbandry
---	--------------------

Water temperature	23±2°C
Aeration	No additional aeration during husbandry
Illuminance	500 to 1000 lx
Light quality	Wide-spectrum fluorescent lights, 840 K
Photoperiod	16 h light : 8 h dark
Refugium for amphipods	Mesh of cotton gauze or nylon mesh (5 x 5 cm slices)
Feeding	Two times per week with ground fish food flakes; once
	per week with 4:1 ground fish food flakes:spirulina
	powder

H. azteca are fed with commercial fish flakes, which have been ground to fine powder using a porcelain mortar or similar. Feeding is carried out 2 times per week by adding 20-30 mg of the fish flakes powder to each of the beakers. Once per week the same amount containing a mixture of 4:1 ground fish flakes and spirulina powder is added to each beaker. To dip the food under the water surface, it is sprayed with holding and dilution water by using a manual pump spray or similar. In addition, every beaker contains an approximately 5 x 5 cm piece of gauze which serves as place of refuge. Since the gauze is gradually consumed by *H. azteca*, the availability should be checked weekly and the gauze replaced if needed. For the establishment of a new laboratory husbandry, at least 150–200 adult amphipods are needed. These amphipods are subdivided to at least 5 beakers and form the stock culture.

Each beaker contains 15 male and 15 female *H. azteca* each, which are sieved weekly with two Artemia sieves (900 µm and 180 µm) to separate the juvenile amphipods. The juveniles collected from 5 beakers form a new group of offspring which is placed in a 2 L beaker containing culture medium. H. azteca are fed with ground commercial fish flakes following the same protocol as applied for maintaining the stock culture. When the offspring reaches the age of 7-9 weeks, new groups of stock culture (containing 15 male

and 15 female *H. azteca*) can be formed until at least 20 groups (beakers) are installed, which are required to obtain a constant supply of *H. azteca* for bioconcentration studies. The number of adult amphipods per beaker of the stock culture is checked monthly. Missing amphipods should be replaced by young adult amphipods, male or female as required, taken from the offspring ( $\geq 8$  weeks old).

In the stock culture, culture medium is replaced on a weekly basis, when juvenile and adult amphipods are separated by sieving. The groups of offspring are sieved (900  $\mu$ m and 180  $\mu$ m) once every three to four weeks during media replacement to remove juvenile amphipods. The formation of a moderate biofilm in the beakers has a positive effect on the culture conditions and beakers should thus be used without cleaning for about a month.

### Health criteria and selection of amphipods for testing

Amphipods in the cultures should be checked three times per week (e.g. Monday, Wednesday, Friday) as a minimum, and preferably daily. Individuals that appear unhealthy (e.g. discoloured or otherwise stressed), inactive, or dead when gently prodded should not be used for testing. If more than 20% of the amphipods in a known age culture chamber appear dead or inactive during the 48-h period preceding the start of the test, the entire group in the container should be discarded (2).

#### **References:**

- 1. Borgmann U (1996), Systematic analysis of aqueous ion requirements of *Hyalella azteca*: A standard artificial medium including the essential bromide ion. Arch Env Contam Toxicol. 30(3):356–363.
- 2. Environment and Climate Change Canada (2017), Biological Test Method: Test for Survival and Growth in Sediment and Water Using the Freshwater Amphipod *Hyalella azteca*. Method Development and Applications, Unit Science and Technology Branch Environment and Climate Change Canada, Ottawa, Ontario Report RM/33, Third Edition September 2017.

### **ANNEX 3: INFORMATION ON ACCEPTABLE DILUTION AND TEST WATER**

The culture medium of Borgmann described in Annex 2 can be also used as dilution water in bioconcentration tests. A medium switch can be performed prior to the bioconcentration test. The following water types were successfully applied as dilution water in bioconcentration studies with H.

azteca:

- Borgmann medium (1) (*cf.* Annex 2)
- De-chlorinated, copper reduced, aerated tap water
- Reconstituted water, e.g. according to EN ISO 6341 (including NaBr)
- Elendt M4 medium (including NaBr)

The pH of the dilution and test water should be between 6 to 8 (2). However, higher pH values up to 8.5 are still acceptable as shown in the ring trial (4.). There is little definitive information on the influence of water hardness or alkalinity on the well-being of *H. azteca*. However, the quality of the culture medium can serve as a guide to set the right conditions for bioconcentration tests (*cf.* Annex 2).

If tap water is used, certain water quality parameters should not be exceeded as listed in Table A3-1.

Table A3-1: Recommended maximum concentrations of selected water parameters as given in OECD TG 305 (3)

Substance	Limit concentration
Particulate matter	5 mg/L
Total organic carbon	2 mg/L
Un-ionised ammonia	1 μg/L
Residual chlorine	10 µg/L
Total organophosphorous pesticides	50 ng/L
Total organochlorine pesticides plus polychlorinated	50 ng/L
biphenyls	
Total organic chlorine	25 ng/L
Aluminium	1 µg/L
Arsenic	1 µg/L
Chromium	1 µg/L
Cobalt	1 µg/L
Copper	1 µg/L
Iron	1 µg/L
Lead	1 µg/L
Nickel	1 μg/L
Zinc	1 µg/L
Cadmium	100 ng/L
Mercury	100 ng/L
Silver	100 ng/L

### **References:**

- 1. Borgmann U (1996), Systematic analysis of aqueous ion requirements of *Hyalella azteca*: A standard artificial medium including the essential bromide ion. Arch Env Contam Toxicol. 30(3):356–363.
- de March, BGE (1979), Survival of *Hyalella azteca* (Saussure) Raised Under Different Laboratory Conditions in a pH Bioassay, with References to Copper Toxicity. Can. Fish. Mar. Serv. Tech. Rep. No. 892.

- 3. OECD (2012), Test No. 305: Bioaccumulation in Fish: Aqueous and Dietary Exposure, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 3, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264185296-en.
- 4. OECD (2024). Multi-laboratory ring trial to support development of OECD Test Guideline on Hyalella azteca bioconcentration test (HYBIT). Series on Tetsing and Assessment No. 403, ENV Publications, OECD, Paris. ENV/CBC/MONO(2024)18.

## **ANNEX 4: EXEMPLARY SAMPLING SCHEDULE**

	Hours	<i>H. azteca</i> samples, tissue analysis	<i>H. azteca</i> samples, lipid analysis	Test medium samples (fresh)*	Test medium samples (aged)*
Uptake phase	0	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .**	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .**	2 x 10 mL	
	1	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>			
	4	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>			
	10	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>			
	24	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .		2 x 10 mL	2 x 10 mL
	48	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL	2 x 10 mL
	72	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .		2 x 10 mL
Depuration	1 (73)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL	
phase					
	4 (76)	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .			
	10 (82)	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .			
	24 (96)	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .		2 x 10 mL	2 x 10 mL
	48 (120)	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .		2 x 10 mL	2 x 10 mL
	72 (144)	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .		2 x 10 mL
Summary:		13 x 60 <i>H.a.</i> = 780 <i>H.a.</i>	3 x 60 <i>H.a.</i> = 180 <i>H.a.</i>	12 x 10 mL	12 x 10 mL
		780 H.a. + 180 H.a. =			
		960 H.a.			
		960 <i>H.a.</i> x 1.2*** = <b>1152</b> <i>H.a.</i>			

#### Table A4-1: Exemplary sampling schedule for a semi-static exposure test

\*Water concentration is checked in the aged and fresh medium prior to and after medium exchange, respectively.

\*\* *H. azteca* at t = 0 are collected from the batch of male amphipods just before the amphipods are placed in the test vessel.

\*\*\*further amphipods (approx. 20%) should be added when stocking the test vessels to compensate potential losses.

Note: The establishment of a procedural water control requires the same stocking density as in the test group. This will double the number of amphipods required to carry out the bioconcentration test (~2300 - 2500 amphipods in total).

	Hours	H. azteca samples,	H. azteca samples,	Test
		tissue analysis	lipid analysis	medium
				samples
Uptake	0	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .*	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .*	2 x 10 mL
phase				
	1	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .		
	4	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .		
	10	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .		
	24	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL
	48	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .		2 x 10 mL
	72	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>	2 x 10 mL
Depuration	1 (73)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL
phase				
-	4 (76)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		
	10 (82)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		
	24 (96)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL
	48 (120)	3 x 20 <i>H.a</i> .		2 x 10 mL
	72 (144)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>	2 x 10 mL
Summary		13 x 60 <i>H.a.</i> = 780 <i>H.a.</i>	3 x 60 <i>H.a.</i> = 180 <i>H.a.</i>	16 x 10 mL
		780 H.a. + 180 H.a. =		
		960 H.a.		
		960 <i>H.a.</i> x 1.2** =		
		1152 H.a.		

#### Table A4-2: Exemplary sampling schedule for a flow-through exposure test

\* *H. azteca* at t = 0 are collected from the batch of male amphipods just before amphipods are placed in the test vessel.

\*\*further amphipods (approx. 20%) should be added to compensate potential losses.

Note: The establishment of a procedural water control requires the same stocking density as in the test group. This will double the number of amphipods required to carry out the bioconcentration test (~2300 - 2500 amphipods in total).

### **ANNEX 5: CALCULATIONS**

The bioconcentration factor (BCF) is determined based on the measured test item concentrations in *H. azteca* and in water samples collected during the uptake phase as well as during the depuration phase of the study. The method used for BCF determination in *H. azteca* is largely based on the method described for fish in Annex 5 of the OECD test guideline 305 (1). Detailed assumptions for the applied bioconcentration model can be found there. In contrast to the BCF determination in fish according to OECD TG 305, growth can be neglected in *H. azteca* BCF calculation due to the short duration of the studies and the use of adult *H. azteca* in the tests.

In a standard BCF test uptake and depuration can be described in terms of two first order kinetic processes:

Rate of uptake =  $k_1 * C_w$ Overall depuration rate =  $k_2 * C_H$ 

Where

 $C_w$  = Concentration of test chemical in water  $C_H$  = Concentration of test chemical in *H. azteca* 

At steady-state, assuming growth and metabolism are negligible, the rate of uptake equals the rate of depuration, and so combining Equation A5.1 and Equation A5.2 gives the following relationship:

 $BCF = \frac{C_{H@SS}}{TWA} = \frac{k_1}{k_2}$ 

[Equation A5.3]

[Equation A5.1] [Equation A5.2]

Where

 $C_{H @ SS}$  = Concentration in *H. azteca* tissue at steady-state (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> wet weight). *TWA* = Time-weighted average water concentration (mg L<sup>-1</sup>).

The ratio of  $k_1/k_2$  is known as the kinetic BCF (BCF<sub>K</sub>) and should be equal to the steady-state BCF (BCF<sub>SS</sub>) obtained from the ratio of the steady-state concentration in *H. azteca* tissue to that in water, but deviations may occur if steady-state was uncertain. However, as  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are constants, steady-state does not need to be reached to derive a BCF<sub>K</sub>.

### Sequential method: determination of depuration (loss) rate constant k<sub>2</sub>

Most bioconcentration data have been assumed to be "reasonably" well described by a simple twocompartment/two-parameter model, as indicated by the rectilinear curve which approximates to the points for concentrations in Hyalella (on a natural logarithmic (ln) scale), during the depuration phase.



The graphical method may be applied for resolving types of depuration deviating from first order kinetics. Note that deviations from a straight line may indicate a more complex depuration pattern than first order kinetics. Such deviations are often observed if total radioactive residues (TRR) are used as basis for the calculations.

To calculate  $k_2$  for multiple time (sampling) points, perform a linear regression of logarithmized (ln) concentrations versus time. The slope of the regression line is an estimate of the depuration rate constant  $k_2$  (2). From the intercept the average concentration in the *H. azteca* at the start of the depuration phase (C<sub>0,d</sub>; which equals the average concentration in *H. azteca* tissue at the end of the uptake phase) can easily be calculated (including error margins) (2).

 $C_{0,d} = e^{intercept}$ 

[Equation A5.4]

[Equation A5.5]

[Equation A5.6]

Furthermore, depuration (loss) rate constant  $k_2$  can be calculated using the following equation:  $C_{H,depuration}(t) = C_{H,end\ uptake} * e^{-k2\ t}$ 

where

 $C_{H,depuration}(t)$ 

is the concentration in Hyalella at the time t of the depuration phase, and

 $C_{H,end\ uptake}$ 

is the average concentration in Hyalella at the end of the uptake phase.

### Sequential method: determination of uptake rate constant k1

To find a value for  $k_1$  given a set of sequential time concentration data for the uptake phase, use a computer programme to fit the following model:

$$C_H(t) = C_W(t) * \frac{k_1}{k_2} * (1 - e^{-k_2 * t})$$

Where  $k_2$  is given by the previous calculation,  $C_H(t)$  and  $C_w(t)$  are the concentrations in *H. azteca* tissue and water, respectively, at time t.

The sequential method should be considered as less reliable, if there is a significant difference between  $C_{0,d}$  determined from the depuration phase and  $C_{H(t)}$  determined as average tissue concentration at the end of the uptake phase. A clear gap indicates that the fitting does not lead to a satisfactory result.

### Simultaneous method: determination of uptake and depuration rate constants

Simultaneous method for the calculation of uptake and depuration (loss) rate constants. Computer programmes can be used to find values for  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  given a set of sequential time concentration data and

the model:

$$C_{H} = TWA * \frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}} * (1 - e^{-k_{2}*t}) \qquad 0 < t < t_{c} \qquad [Equation A5.7]$$
  

$$C_{H} = TWA * \frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}} * (e^{-k_{2}(t - t_{c})} - e^{-k_{2}*t}) \qquad t > t_{c} \qquad [Equation A5.8]$$

where

 $t_c$  = time at the end of the uptake phase

This approach directly provides standard errors for the estimates of  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ . When  $k_1/k_2$  is substituted by BCF (cf. Equation A5.3) in Equation A5.6 and Equation A5.7, the standard error and 95% CI of the BCF can be estimated as well. This is especially useful when comparing different estimates due to data transformation. The dependent variable (*H. azteca* tissue concentration) can be fitted with or without ln transformation, and the resulting BCF uncertainty can be evaluated.

The guidance document to OECD TG 305 (3) proposes a package for the programming software R that enables such an estimation. Information on the application of the R-package and interpretation of the calculated results be obtained from the OECD web can page (https://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/section-3-environmental-fate-behaviour-software-tg-305.htm link valid June 2023).

#### **Calculation of the TWA (flow-through exposure)**

In the case water samples were drawn in two or more unequal time intervals, time-weighted average (TWA) concentrations of the test chemical in the test solutions should be determined to account for the variation in time between samplings (i.e. accounting for the time interval represented by a pair of samples). To this end, weighted average concentrations are calculated by multiplying the average of two subsequently measured concentrations by the time period (h) that elapsed between both measurements. Afterwards all weighted average concentrations are then summed up and divided by the total time (h) of the uptake period resulting in the TWA concentration using the following equation described in OECD GD to OECD TG 305 (3):

$$TWA = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(C_{start,i} + C_{end,i})}{2} w_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i}$$
[Equation  
A5.9]  
Where:

TWA is the time-weighted average concentration *n* is the number of sampling periods  $C_{start,i}$  is the concentration of the fresh test solution of period *i*  $C_{end,i}$  is the concentration of the old solution of period *i*  $w_i$  is time ti - ti - 1, the number of hours or days in the interval between measurements of concentration

#### Calculation of the TWA (semi-static exposure)

In case of a semi-static exposure scenario, the concentration of the test chemical can decline over the period between medium renewals. A TWA for this scenario can be calculated according to the method described in Annex 6 of the OECD TG 211 (4). The following equation is applied for the measured concentrations at start and end of a given sampling interval:

$$Area = \frac{Conc_0 - Conc_1}{ln(Conc_0) - ln(Conc_1)} x days$$

A5.10] Where:

Conc<sub>0</sub> is the measured concentration at the start of a given renewal interval

© OECD, (2024)

321

[Equation

Conc<sub>1</sub> is the measured concentration at the end of a given renewal interval

 $\ln \left( Conc_0 \right)$  is the natural logarithm of  $Conc_0$ 

 $\ln \left( Conc_1 \right)$  is the natural logarithm of  $Conc_1$ 

days is the number of days in the renewal interval

Area is the area under the exponential curve for a given renewal interval

The time-weighted average (TWA) is the sum of all areas ("Total Area") divided by the sum of all days in all renewal intervals.

### **References:**

- OECD (2012), Test No. 305: Bioaccumulation in Fish: Aqueous and Dietary Exposure, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 3, OECD Publishing, Paris, <u>https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264185296-en</u>.
- 2. Kristensen, P, Nyholm, N (1987), Bioaccumulation of chemical substances in fish: the flowthrough method - Ring Test Programme, 1984-1985 Final report, CEC, March 1987.
- OECD (2017), Guidance Document on Aspects of OECD TG 305 on Fish Bioaccumulation, OECD Environment, Health and Safety Publications, Series on Testing & Assessment No. 264, ENV/JM/MONO(2017)16, 19-Jul-2017.
- 4. OECD (2012), Test No. 211: *Daphnia magna* Reproduction Test, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 2, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264185203-en.

### ANNEX 6: LIPID DETERMINATION IN H. AZTECA

For determination of the lipid content of the test organisms the lipid extraction method of Smedes (1) adapted by Schlechtriem et al. (2) should be used. Note that with decreasing sample mass increased standard deviations for lipid measurements were observed in several cases (3). An appropriate number of amphipods (*e.g.* 20 amphipods) with an average weight of  $\geq 2.5 \text{ mg}$  (w/w) should be pooled to reach a sufficient sample mass (>50 mg/pooled sample) to ensure an accurate determination of the lipid content. Material needed:

- Small glass vials (7 ml, one glass per sample, e.g. liquid scintillation vials)
- drying cabinet
- desiccator
- centrifuge
- glass test tubes (at least 10 ml)
- homogeniser with Teflon pestle
- Vortex generator
- Pasteur pipette
- Cyclohexane
- Isopropanol
- N<sub>2</sub> for evaporating

The small glass vials are stored over night at 75°C in a drying cabinet, placed in a desiccator for additional 30 min and weighted (empty). They are used to pool the lipid extract.

The amphipods, the fresh weight of which was determined immediately after sampling, are transferred into glass test tubes. After 200  $\mu$ l of solution 1 (Table A6-1) are added to the tube, the amphipods are homogenised for about 1 min with a homogeniser with Teflon pestle. The pestle is rinsed with 4.3 ml solution 1, which are also collected in the tube. After 2.75 ml of distilled water are added, the tube is vortexed and centrifuged (12 min, Relative Centrifugal Force approx. 500 x g). The organic phase is transferred into the small glass vial using a Pasteur pipette.

After 2.5 ml of solution 2 (Table A6-1) have been added to the remaining aqueous phase, the tube is vortexed again and centrifuged under the same conditions. The organic phase is pooled with the first one and evaporated with nitrogen until only the lipid phase is left. The extract in the glass vial is stored over night at 75°C in a drying cabinet, placed in a desiccator for additional 30 min and weighted again. The net dry weight is determined with a microbalance (*e.g.* precision 0.01 mg) for a total lipid content by weight.

Composition	
Solution 1	Cyclohexane / Isopropanol
	5:4 (v/v)
Solution 2	Cyclohexane / Isopropanol
	87:13 (v/v)

### Table A6-1: Working solutions for lipid extraction

### **References:**

- 1. Smedes F (1999), Determination of total lipid using non-chlorinated solvents. Analyst 124(11):1711–1718. http://xlink.rsc.org/?DOI=a905904k.
- Schlechtriem C, Fliedner A, Schäfers C (2012), Determination of lipid content in fish samples from bioaccumulation studies: Contributions to the revision of guideline OECD 305. Environ Sci Eur 24(4):17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/2190-4715-24-13</u>.
- 3. OECD (2024). Multi-laboratory ring trial to support development of OECD Test Guideline on

Hyalella azteca bioconcentration test (HYBIT). Series on Tetsing and Assessment No. 403, ENV Publications, OECD, Paris. ENV/CBC/MONO(2024)18.

321

### ANNEX 7: SEXING OF H. AZTECA

To collect male or female amphipods, adult *H. azteca* ( $\geq$ 8 weeks old) are transferred into a petri dish and examined under a stereomicroscope (magnification factor: 6–10 x). Eggs are visible in the marsupium at the ventral side of the female. The specific sexual characteristics of male *H. azteca* are gnathopods located on the front body (Figure A7-1). Male *H. azteca* used for a bioconcentration test should have an average weight of  $\geq$ 2.5 mg (w/w).

During the mating process, the male amphipod is attached to the dorsal side of the female amphipod. Female and male amphipods can be separated by using spring steel tweezers. Generally, only healthy amphipods are selected. Test organisms which are used in bioconcentration studies should be  $\geq 8$  weeks old but not older than 6 months when selected for a test. An Artemia sieve of wider mesh size (900 µm) is used to separate larger amphipods and to obtain test organisms of similar size. The male amphipods are collected, counted, and transferred into beakers (2 L polypropylene) filled with a mix of culture medium (50%) and holding and dilution water (50%) to allow gradual adaptation of the amphipods to the test water. Instead of the cotton gauze used during husbandry, steel mesh shelters are used during the study and are placed in the beakers to provide a sufficiently dimensioned place of refuge for the dense group of *H. azteca*. However, each beaker should not contain more than 130 to 150 amphipods to avoid competitive behaviour and cannibalism. The selected test organisms will remain in the collection beakers until the start of the test. The holding conditions (feeding, light, temperature) during this phase are in agreement with the husbandry conditions described above (cf. Annex 2). The sexing should take place 1-2 days before test start. If the time period between separating and test start is longer than 2 days, the amount of selected male amphipods should be re-counted. If necessary, amphipod losses should be replaced by additional male amphipods. Materials required for amphipod sexing are described in Table A7-1.



Figure A7-1: Sexual dimorphism in *H. azteca*. Arrows indicate sex-specific characteristics. A: female *H. azteca* with eggs; B: male *H. azteca* with gnathopods MATERIAL LIST - SEXING

#### Table A7-1: Compilation of materials needed for H. azteca sexing

Article	Remarks
Stereomicroscope	
Spring steel tweezer	
Tip-cut plastic Pasteur pipets	
Mesh of stainless steel	three parts per 20 L test aquarium required
2 L polypropylen beaker	
Food TetraMin <sup>®</sup> (ground fish food flakes)	

© OECD, (2024)

Petri dishes

### **ANNEX 8: PREPARATION OF TEST FOOD (DECOTAB)**

A pre-test investigating the suitability of different food items was conducted to find the most appropriate feeding protocol for *H. azteca* in BCF studies (1). In this study, the filter disc method (2) was compared to agar-bound feed, so called Decotabs, which were prepared according to Kampfraath et al. (3). Decotab feeding is the recommended feeding method for HYBIT tests because feeding the agar-agar cubes enriched with ground fish food flakes ensures optimal nutrient supply to the amphipods while algal growth in the test system remains low. Decotabs are readily accepted by *H. azteca*.

The preparation of the Decotabs should be done as follows:

### Material:

- A silicone tray with wells that provide a volume of approx. 1 mL (here we use a cubic shape)
- 1 mL 2% agar solution per cube
- 75 mg finely ground fish food per cube

An appropriate volume of a 2% agar-agar solution in ultra-pure  $H_2O$  solution is boiled on a heated plate under stirring until the agar-agar has dissolved completely. After a short cool-down phase, ground fish food flakes are added to the solution equivalent to 75 mg ground fish food per mL. The suspension is stirred and poured into the wells of the silicone tray. The agar-agar cubes solidify rapidly. The silicone tray is then sealed with a plastic bag to avoid evaporation and stored at 4°C. The cubes will start to deteriorate after 8-10 days and should therefore be used within 7 days. Alternatively, the cubes can be stored at -20°C for a prolonged time (approx. 2-4 weeks, should be evaluated by each lab). Prior to feeding, the frozen cubes should have been thawed thoroughly.

### **References:**

- 1. Kosfeld V, Fu Q, Ebersbach I, Esser D, Schauerte A, Bischof I, Hollender J, Schlechtriem C (2020), Comparison of alternative methods for bioaccumulation assessment: Scope and limitations of in vitro depletion assays with rainbow trout and bioconcentration tests in the freshwater amphipod *Hyalella azteca*. Environ Toxicol Chem 39(9):1813–1825. doi: 10.1002/etc.4791.
- 2. Schlechtriem C, Kampe S, Bruckert HJ, Bischof I, Ebersbach I, Kosfeld V, Kotthoff M, Schäfers C, L'Haridon J (2019), Bioconcentration studies with the freshwater amphipod *Hyalella azteca*: are the results predictive of bioconcentration in fish? Environ Sci Pollut Res 26:1628–1641. doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3677-4.
- Kampfraath AA, Hunting ER, Mulder C, Breure AM, Gessner MO, Kraak MHS, Admiraal W (2012), DECOTAB: a multipurpose standard substrate to assess effects of litter quality on microbial decomposition and invertebrate consumption. Freshw Sci 31(4):1156–1162. http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/10.1899/12-075.1.

## ANNEX 9: DETERMINATION OF ACUTE TOXICITY IN *H. AZTECA* AS PRE-EXPERIMENT FOR HYBIT TESTS

Toxic effects are not desired and should be avoided in bioconcentration studies. It is thus important to select an exposure concentration that does not cause adverse effects in the test species.

Sufficient information on the toxicity of the test chemical to aquatic invertebrates and/or *H. azteca* is not always available. Data on acute and/or chronic toxicity may be available for the widely tested Daphnia species although this may differ for Hyalella species.

Therefore, an appropriate exposure concentration has to be determined prior to the bioconcentration test in this case. The following paragraphs describe a proposal for such an evaluation in the style of an acute toxicity test with the endpoint mortality.

A semi-static exposure scenario is proposed. However, if the chemical characteristics do not allow for a semi-static exposure, the test setup may have to be changed to a flow-through one. A detailed description of the preliminary investigations should be available in the final study report to justify the selection and relevance of the final treatment level used in the bioconcentration test.

### Material:

- Glass aquarium (as water bath)
- Beaker (250 mL)
- Water heating element / climate chamber
- Shortened stainless-steel mesh shelters
- Decotabs
- Artemia sieves
- Adult *H. azteca*  $\geq$ 8 weeks old; male (preferred). Female or mixed *H. azteca* can be used to make the best use of the available amphipods. However, a difference in sensitivity cannot be ruled out, even if it was not observed in the ring trial
- Amphipods should not be older than 6 months at the start of a test

### **Test setup:**

- 1 control
- 5 concentrations (treatments)
- 3-6 replicates per control / treatment
- 20 *H. azteca* per replicate
- Exposure duration: Approx. the planned duration of the uptake phase in the bioconcentration test (here: 4 days / 96 hrs)
- Exposure method: semi-static (change to flow-through, if necessary / desirable)
- Recommended water temperature of  $23^{\circ}C$  ( $\pm 2^{\circ}C$ )
- Daily medium renewal
- Daily temperature and O<sub>2</sub> saturation as well as pH determination
- Randomised placement of beakers in water bath
- Daily feeding with Decotabs, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> cube per day per beaker
- Daily determination of water concentration (fresh and aged medium)
- Daily count of alive and dead *H. azteca*, in each beaker. Dead amphipods are removed.

Table A9-1: Exemplary concentrations for a toxicity range-inder test for procinoraz with <i>H. azieca</i>
---

Scenario	Prochloraz in medium (mg/L)
Concentration 1	2.143
Concentration 2	0.612
Concentration 3	0.175
Concentration 4	0.050
Concentration 5	0.014
Control	0.000

The concentration selection was based on a *G. pulex*  $LC_{50}$  (96 hrs) of 2.2 mg/L (1) and the exposure concentration of 50 µg/L in already conducted *H. azteca* bioconcentration tests (2). A spacing of approx. 3.5 was used between all concentrations. See OECD validation report for further information on pre-study for Prochloraz.

### **Pooling option:**

With 36 beakers in the test, daily media renewal and a determination of fresh and aged media concentrations of the test chemical, a considerable number of samples is generated. 'Sample pooling' can help to reduce the number of samples for analyses. Aliquots (5 mL) collected from each beaker (total of 30 mL) should be sufficient to determine the average parameters of each treatment. This option should only be selected if there are no indications that the treatments differ significantly from each other.

### Validity criteria:

The following validity criteria for a preliminary, acute toxicity test with *H. azteca* may be used:

- Control mortality  $\leq 10\%$  (average mortality among replicates)
- The water temperature variation should be within the range of  $23\pm2^{\circ}$ C. However, the temperature should not vary by more than  $\pm1^{\circ}$ C within 24 hours;

#### **Troubleshooting:**

• Artemia sieves should have no holes / pockets that allow the amphipods to hide in them. Otherwise *H. azteca* loss that is not mortality skews the results.

### **References:**

- 1. Beketov MA, Liess M (2008), Potential of 11 pesticides to initiate downstream drift of stream macroinvertebrates. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 55(2):247–253.
- Kosfeld V, Fu Q, Ebersbach I, Esser D, Schauerte A, Bischof I, Hollender J, Schlechtriem C (2020), Comparison of alternative methods for bioaccumulation assessment: Scope and limitations of in vitro depletion assays with rainbow trout and bioconcentration tests in the freshwater amphipod *Hyalella azteca*. Environ Toxicol Chem 39(9):1813–1825. doi: 10.1002/etc.4791.